

Attachment **H**

Proposal # 2001-	K201	(Office Use Only
------------------	------	------------------

PSP Cover Sheet (Attach to the front of each proposal)

·		,		, ,					
Pro	posal Title:	Genetic Population Structure	of Ce	entral Valley Chinook Salmon					
	licant Name:	California Department of Fish							
Con	tact Name:	Deborah C. Mckee (CDFG)							
Mai	Mailing Address: CDFG, Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch, 1416 Ninth Street.								
Tala		Sacramento CA 95814							
Fax	ephone:	<u>916-653-8983</u> <u>916-654-8099</u>							
Ema		Deborah McKee - dmckee@d	fa c	a nov					
	all.	Deborari werkee Griskee@d	14.0	a.gov					
Am	ount of fundi	ng requested: \$1,321,585							
	ne entities cha eral funds list l	•	on th	ne source of the funds. If it is different for state or					
Stat	te cost\$1,	321,585 Fed	dera	Il cost\$1,337,863					
		_		-					
Cos	st share partn	ers?X	Yes	No					
Ido	atify partners	and amount contributed by each	C	alifornia Department of Fish and Game \$216,040					
iuei		onal Marine Fisheries Service		alifornia Department of Fish and Game \$316.940					
	and Nativ	onar warmer isneries oct vice	ΨΟ 1.	2.404					
Ind	icate the Top	ic for which you are applying	(ch	ieck only one box).					
	Natural Flow		Ò	Beyond the Riparian Corridor					
		asive Species		Local Watershed Stewardship					
		amics/ Sediment Transport		Environmental Education .					
	Flood Manag	·		Special Status Species Survey s and Studies					
	_	er Tida l/ Marsh Habitat		Fishery Monitoring, Assessment and Research					
	Contaminants		n	Fish Screens					
	Comammant	5		Tion Corcons					
Wh	at county or co	ounties is the project located in	? <u>Al</u>	pine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras. Colusa,					
EII	<u> Dorado, Fresno</u>	<u>o. Glenn, Madera. Mariposa, M</u>	erce	ed. Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, San					
<u>Joa</u>	auin, Shasta.	Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tu	ıolur	<u>mne. Yuba</u>					
Wł	nat CALFED	ecozone is the project lo	cate	ed in? See attached list and indicate number.					
Be	as specific	as possible: 3.1, 4.1, 4.4, 5.	1. 5.	<u>2, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5. 7.6, 8.1, 8.2, 9.2,11.1, 11.2.</u>					
Ind	icate the type	e c applicant (check only one	e bo	x):					
Χ	State agency			Federal agency					
		r ofi t joint venture		Non-profit					
	Local govern			Tribes					
	University	ment/district	П	Private party					
	Other:			Filvate party					
_				_					
Ind	icate the prin	nary species which the propo	sal	addresses (check all that apply):					
	San Joaquin	and East-side Delta tributaries							



	Winter-run chinook salmon Late-fall run chinook salmon Delta smelt Splittail Green sturgeon White Sturgeon Waterfowl and Shorebirds Migratory birds Other listed T/E species:	0 0 0 0 X 0 0	Spring-run chinook salmon Fall-run chinook salmon Longfin smelt Steelhead t rout Striped bass All chinook species All anadromous salmonids American shad
Ind	licate the type of project (check only one	box	:
X	Research/Monitoring	,, П	Watershed Planning
	Pilot/Demo Project	ā	Education
	Full-scale Implementation		
Ha	his a next-phase of an ongoing project? ve you received funding from C ALFED bef ves, list project title and CALFED number _		Yes No X
На	ve you received funding from CVPIA before	e? Y€	S No_X_
1 f y	es, list CVPI A program providing funding,	proje	ct title and CVPI A number (if applicable):
Ву - -	applicant is an entity or organization); and	heir p to sub I	

The person submitting the application has read and understood the conflict of interest and confidentiality discussion in the PSP (Section 2.4) and waives any and all rights to privacy and confidentiality of the proposal on behalf of the applicant, to the extent as provided in the Section.

Printed name of applicant

Signature of applicant



Executive Summary

Title: Genetic Population Structure of Central Valley Chinook Salmon

Amount Requested: \$1,321,585 Applicant: Deborah C. McKee

California Department of Fish and Game

Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch

1416Ninth Street

Sacramento California 95814 Telephone: 916.653.8983

Fax: 916.654.8099

Email: dmckee@dfg2.ca.gov

Collaborators: Mr. David Teel (NMFS), Dr. John Carlos Garza (NMFS), Dr. Gary Winans (NMFS), Dr.

Jim Myers (NMFS), Mr. Bill Snider (CDFG), Dr. Robert Titus (CDFG)

The proposed project is a cooperative research effort by the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) that will provide a comprehensive assessment of genetic population structure to help guide recovery and restoration efforts for Central Valley chinook salmon. Recovery strategies depend upon the assessment of individual populations within the species and Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs), but baseline genetic data to define population boundaries and monitor the effects of restoration actions on population structure and dynamics is lacking.

The primary objective of the proposed study is to provide a comprehensive model of population structure for Central Valley chinook salmon populations, particularly in tributaries that support multiple temporal runs, that is consistent with observed patterns of genetic variation and integrates information on life history. Samples will be collected from freshly spawned carcasses from populations within all CALFED Ecological Management Zones. A basin-wide, standardized database of allozyme and microsatellite DNA data will be generated and all previously collected data will be integrated into it. The database will then be used to test the hypotheses that (i) population structure of Central Valley chinook salmon is best represented by a complex model with populations that represent both old lineages and some that have recently diverged and (ii) that some populations of Central Valley chinook salmon in the same river with different adult run timing are completely reproductively isolated and represent old genetic lineages, while some are not and represent populations which have recently diverged.

The specific population parameters that will be estimated with the genetic data are (i) population boundaries and times of divergence among populations, (ii) levels of gene flow, (iii) effective population sizes and determination of recent changes in population size (increases or decreases), and (iv) straying rates and levels of hybridization with hatchery-raised fish.

The final outcome will be an integrated ecosystem-level model of chinook population structure, that can then applied by the Central Valley Multi Species Conservation Strategy (MSCS) Planning Team in recovery and restoration efforts and an improved baseline genetic database for genetic stock identification (GSI) applications with Central Valley chinook salmon. The GSI baseline is used by NMFS and state fisheries laboratories to estimate contributions of various chinook salmon stocks to ocean fisheries and a more powerful and accurate set of methods can lead to decisions that will help to properly manage a significant source of mortality for these at-risk populations.

All Central Valley chinook salmon populations are identified as "At-Risk" by CALFED. The proposed study will address CALFED Ecosystem Recovery Plan Goal 1 "At-Risk Species" by helping to achieve recovery of chinook salmon through establishment of large, self-sustaining populations. Specifically, the proposed research will address the stated goals of providing a genetic assessment of Central Valley salmonids and improving and expanding the inventory and assessment of fishery resources. The proposed research will also help to achieve Strategic Goal 3 — Harvestable Species, because Central Valley chinook salmon are a harvested species.

Project Description



1. Statement of the Problem

a. Problem. The proposed study will provide a comprehensive assessment of genetic population structure to help guide recovery and restoration efforts for Central Valley winter-, spring- fall- and late fall-run chinook salmon. All populations are identified as "First Priority Species" for recovery by CALFED.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) recently developed the Species Narrative Recovery Prescriptions/Goals for Central Valley spring-run and fall-/late fall-run chinook salmon Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs), which will be incorporated in CALFED's Multi Species Conservation Strategy (MSCS). These recovery policies are predicated on restoration of viable populations of naturally-spawning chinook salmon throughout a significant portion of their range and explicitly recognize the need to undertake conservation actions at population scales smaller than the ESU. An important component of this strategy is the Viable Salmonid Populations (VSP) framework developed by NMFS scientists (McElhany et al. 1999). The VSP approach is designed to facilitate establishment of ESU-level delisting goals by assessing key parameters for viability (e.g. abundance, productivity, spatial structure, diversity) of individual populations, then relating them to the viability of the ESU as a whole. Applying VSP concepts to recovery goals involves two major steps: assessing VSP characteristics at the population level, and integrating this information up to the ESU level. Before the VSP approach can be applied to Central Valley chinook salmon, demographically independent populations must be identified. Once independent populations within the ESU have been identified, the extinction risk of each can be estimated, and, ultimately, various combinations of individual populations that produce a viable (i.e. recovered) ESU can be determined. However, much of the empirical genetic data needed to describe population structure and identify demographically independent populations within Central Valley chinook salmon ESUs is lacking (Table 1).

Of particular importance, is information on population structure and genetic diversity in drainages that have multiple temporal runs. More than one temporal run of chinook salmon has been observed in several Sacramento River tributaries, including Antelope, Battle, Deer, Mill, Big Chico, and Butte creeks and the Feather and Yuba Rivers (Yoshiyama et al. 1996, 2000), yet the genetic relationships between runs within these rivers have not been determined. Concerns regarding potential genetic interactions among temporal runs are increasingly a focus in recovery planning for chinook salmon in Central Valley streams. For some localities, notably the Feather and Yuba rivers, possible hybridization, due to lack of spatial separation of spawning habitat or straying of hatchery fish, has, been identified as an important risk factor (CDFG 1998; Myers et al.1998). The design of programs to establish self-sustaining populations of chinook salmon in these rivers and to assess the impact of management strategies such as alternative flow regimes, dam removal, modifications to hatchery practices and harvest regulations often hinges on assumptions about the genetic relationships among different temporal runs of fish within a river.

Previous genetic studies of chinook salmon provide a baseline with which to understand genetic population structure in the Central Valley ecosystem. These studies have employed several molecular genetic techniques, including allozymes (Bartley et al. 1992; Myers et al. 1998; Schiewe 1999), mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Nielsen 1995), microsatellite DNA (Hedgecock et al. 1995; Nielsen et al. 1999; Banks et al. 2000), and a major histocompatibility complex gene (Kim et al. 1999). The results of these studies have provided some insight into the genetic structure of chinook populations. Among these are (i) Central Valley chinook salmon are well differentiated from coastal chinook salmon populations, (ii) genetic differentiation between populations in the same river with different run-times has apparently occurred independently in these areas (e.g. spring-run fish in the Central Valley are not closely related to spring-run fish in the Klamath River basin), (iii) within the Central Valley, major genetic units are mostly congruent with adult run-time, and (iv) most spawning populations in different drainages with similar runtimes are also genetically similar. A synthesis of these aspects of genetic population structure with other biological and ecological information was used by NMFS to define chinook salmon ESUs for California



and the Central Valley (Myers et al. 1998). These studies also provide some insight into the genetic diversity that exists within drainages. Banks et al. (2000) detected no evidence for natural hybridization among temporal runs in Butte Creek and the mainstem Sacramento. Nielsen et al. (1999) noted that only 6.6% of observed genetic variation was attributable to spawning-run classifications.

However, these previous genetic studies have considerable limitations. Most important is the limited scope of sampling of the individual studies. For example, these studies generally examined different subsets of tributaries, did not examine samples from more than one naturally spawning in the same tributary and, with the notable exception of the allozyme studies, did not employ a sufficient number of loci. Moreover, many of these studies (primarily the microsatellite-based ones) suffer from a lack of standardization by employing different sets of markers, methods of analysis and sample sizes and representing populations by samples collected from different tributaries or by samples collected from the same tributaries but at different times of year. All of these factors render the results from the different studies not strictly compatible and can lead to conflicting pictures of genetic population structure.

The primary objective of the proposed study is to provide a comprehensive model of population structure for Central Valley chinook salmon populations, particularly in tributaries that support multiple temporal runs, that is consistent with observed patterns of genetic variation and integrates information on life history (e.g. runtime). This will be achieved through the creation and analysis of a basin-wide, standardized database of allozyme and microsatellite DNA data. The specific population parameters that will be estimated are (i) population boundaries and times of divergence among populations, (ii) levels of gene flow, (iii) effective population sizes and determination of recent changes in population size (increases or decreases), and (iv) straying rates and levels of hybridization with hatchery-raised fish. These data will be supplemented with data on otolith microstructure to help confirm the stream of origin and age of sampled fish, and allow the discrimination of naturally produced and hatchery-reared individuals. The different datasets will be integrated to provide an ecosystem-level model of chinook population structure. This model can then be applied by the Central Valley MSCS Planning Team in recovery and restoration efforts. The strength of the present study is that it will build on an existing, standardized allozyme database for Pacific salmonids (Teel et al. 1999) and will employ two types of genetic markers for the study of the same samples in the same populations.

b. Conceptual model. Phenotypic or life history differences can be useful for identifying population units within a widespread species. The timing of adult freshwater migration has been applied to chinook salmon for this purpose. In the Central Valley, spring-, fall-, late fall, and winter-runs have been described (Slater 1963; Hallock and Fry 1967). These runs have also been associated with several other ecological characteristics including spawn timing, spawning habitat, juvenile rearing behavior, and patterns of ocean migration. Because of these associations, the temporal runs are sometimes referred to as "races", implying separate ancestries (e.g. McClane 1978). However, the genetic basis of phenotypic or life history traits is often unknown and, consequently, population differences in these traits do not necessarily reflect ancestral relationships among populations. Molecular genetic markers allow an independent assessment of the extent of reproductive isolation and ancestral relationships among groups and have been widely used for this purpose in chinook salmon (reviewed by Myers et al. 1998). Genetic studies have shown that life history classifications among populations sometimes reflect separate ancestral lineages and sometimes do not. For example, in British Columbia, genetic differences among life history types may reflect postglacial colonization by two ancestral lineages that survived in Pleistocene refugia (Teel et al. 2000). In the upper Columbia River, genetic data show that populations of spring-run chinook salmon have a separate ancestry from that of fall- and summer-run populations and that the lineages remain largely reproductively isolated from one another (Utter et al. 1995). **In** contrast, populations with differing runtimes have been shown to be genetically similar (indicating recent common ancestry) in some tributaries of the Fraser (Teel et al. 2000), Skagit of Puget Sound (Marshall et al. 1995), Columbia (Utter et al. 1989), Rogue (Myers et al. 1998), and Klamath Rivers (Banks and Bartron 1999; Schiewe 1999). Run time diversity in these rivers probably results from relatively recent evolutionary events. Rapid



differentiation is also apparent in populations of chinook salmon transplanted to New Zealand in the early 1900's from the fall-run Battle Creek stock (Quinn and Unwin 1993; Quinn et al. 1996). After about 25 generations, the New Zealand populations have considerably more diversity in timing of juvenile emigration and adult return than is exhibited in the founding California population.

Clearly, a single "racial" model is not sufficient to describe the complexity of chinook salmon population genetic structure. An appropriate model for Central Valley chinook salmon will likely include both long-standing genetic lineages, often characterized by different life history traits (e.g. adult run timing), and populations which have diverged much more recently, some into different temporal runs. For Central Valley chinook salmon, existing genetic data suggest that an appropriate model for genetic population structure should (i) view Central Valley populations (of all runtimings) as a long-standing genetic unit distinct from other Pacific chinook salmon and (ii) emphasize more recently diverged evolutionary lineages within the Central Valley that are aligned with adult run times (Figure 1). A comprehensive evaluation of genetic relationships among populations, particularly among temporal runs within the same river, is necessary to construct an accurate model of Central Valley chinook salmon.

c. Hypotheses being tested. The proposed study will test the following three hypotheses.

Hypothesis 1: Genetic data can be used to describe population structure at ecosystem, ESU, and local levels in Central Valley chinook salmon.

Hypothesis 2: Population structure of Central Valley chinook salmon is best represented by a complex model with populations that represent both old lineages and some that have recently diverged.

Hypothesis 3: Some populations of Central Valley chinook salmon in the same river with different adult run timing are completely reproductively isolated and represent old genetic lineages, while some are not and represent populations which have recently diverged.

d. Adaptive Management. CALFED's Comprehensive Monitoring, Assessment, and Research Program (CMARP) states "Appropriate and timely assessment of monitoring and research data is critical to effective adaptive management." This project will provide a standardized framework and the baseline research data for the assessment and monitoring of Central Valley chinook salmon populations using genetic methods. This will provide resource managers with a model of population structure and abundance necessary to design appropriate and effective recovery actions for chinook salmon populations. It will also allow the evaluation of the effects of ecosystem restoration (such as removal of barriers to migration) and species recovery actions on changes in population structure and abundance through future genetic monitoring programs. This can help guide the design of subsequent restoration actions and improve their overall effectiveness in meeting the CALFED's goal of "recovery" for all Central Valley chinook salmon populations.

The design of the sampling for this study was developed using results from previous genetic studies of Central Valley chinook salmon (reviewed by Myers et al. 1998,;Schiewe 1999) and by identifying gaps in sampling conducted during the pilot program in 1998 and 1999 (Table 1). Genetic analyses of the 1998 and 1999 samples will be completed during fiscal year 2000. Results from these analyses will be used to appropriately modify the experimental design or develop new hypotheses for work in 2001-2003.

2. Proposed Scope **of** Work

a. Location and/or Geographic Boundaries **of** the Project. This evaluation will assess chinook salmon population structure throughout the Central Valley (Figure 2; Table 1). The populations to be examined are found within the following CALFED Ecological Zones (i) Sacramento River, (ii) North Sacramento Valley, (iii) Butte Basin, (iv) Feather River and Sutter Basin, (v) American River Basin, (vi) East Side



Delta Tributaries, (vii) East San Joaquin Basin, (viii) Colusa Basin, and (ix) Cottonwood Creek. These chinook salmon populations occur within Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, El Dorado, Fresno, Glenn, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, and Yuba counties.

Tisssue samples for allozyme analyses are already complete for certain chinook salmon populations (Table 1) including the San Joaquin River System. Tissue samples for DNA work are presently being collected for the San Joaquin River system as part of an existing CALFED contract. For these populations, no further sampling will be required to complete the proposed evaluation. The proposed study will complete the collection of tissue samples necessary for a proper understanding of population structure of Central Valley chinook sahnon, with an emphasis on populations in the Sacramento River and its tributaries that presently support multiple temporal runs of chinook salmon (Table 1).

b. Approach. Numerous molecular genetic methods have been developed to survey genetic variation in fish populations. Grant et al. (1999) reviewed the advantages and limitations of many of these techniques and stressed their c'omplementary nature for studying stock structure. A rigorous assessment of the genetic population structure of Central Valley chinook salmon should thus ideally include data from several differing molecular genetic techniques. The proposed study will build upon an existing allozyme database for Central Valley chinook salmon and integrate these allozyme data with data **from** microsatellite DNA.

We have selected allozyme and microsatellite DNA for the proposed study because both have proven to be effective in the study of chinook salmon population genetics. Allozymes (i.e. protein electrophoresis) permit the study of a large number of loci with relative ease, speed, and low cost. In addition, several laboratories have standardized and shared chinook salmon allozyme data since the mid 1980s. This collaboration has resulted in a species-wide database with data from over 250 chinook salmon populations in California, Oregon, Idaho, Washington, British Columbia, Alaska, and Russia (Teel et al. 1999). The database is applied to a wide array of chinook salmon management issues throughout North America, including the definition of state and federal conservation units (e.g. Marshall et al. 1995; Myers et al. 1998), the monitoring of effects of hatchery fish on wild populations (e.g. Waples et al 1990), and the estimation of stock contributions to ocean fisheries (e.g. Shaklee et al. 1990). Microsatellite DNA markers have also been used to study chinook sahnon genetic variability, particularly in California (e.g. Nielsen et al. 1999; Banks et al. 2000) and British Columbia (Beacham et al. 1996). Microsatellite loci have a higher mutation rate than allozyme loci, which results in a larger numbers of alleles (genetic variants) and much more quickly accumulating differences between isolated populations. These genetic markers are capable of detecting genetic structure over much shorter periods of time and on much finer spatial scales than allozymes, making them ideal for identifying closely related populations and individuals. Moreover, the high variability inherent in these loci has been capitalized on to develop new methods for the estimation of population genetic parameters (e.g. Slatkin, 1995; Garza and Williamson 2000). The collection of tissues in the field is also much easier than for allozyme studies, because laboratory analyses of microsatellites utilize the polymerase chain reaction, which does not require large amounts of fresh starting material. However, in contrast to allozyme studies, different microsatellites loci have been used in different laboratories and geographically extensive datasets are not available. This is primarily because it is a relatively new technology and the time and cost of marker development is significant. However, the complementary types of information provided by allozymes and microsatellites have been considered analogous to the complementary uses of light and electron microscopy and both are necessary to provide a comprehensive assessment of Central Valley chinook salmon population structure.

Pre-proposal. <u>Analysis of recent allozyme collections</u>. During 1998 and 1999, 'CDFG and NMFS executed a pilot cooperative study to begin upgrading the existing allozyme database for chinook salmon in California. Approximately 1850 new tissues samples from 25 populations have been collected and are now being analyzed. The allozyme samples listed in Table 1 will be analyzed prior to the beginning of this project. The updated California database includes baseline genetic information on numerous



populations in Central Valley rivers, coastal rivers, and the Klamath River Basin. Population genetic structure of Central Valley chinook can therefore be studied at local scales and also directly compared to genetic differentiation of chinook salmon throughout California and in other regions.

Task 1. Quality Assurance Program Plan (OAPP). Develop and submit a QAPP to CALFED within one month of contract execution for review and approval before beginning field collection activities.

Task 2. Tissue Collection / Archive. CDFG will collect tissue samples for allozyme, otolith, and DNA analyses from fresh-spawned adult chinook salmon carcasses over the three-year study period. Sampling will be designed to collect fish throughout the entire spawning season in all targeted rivers. Information from redd surveys conducted by CDFG biologists will be used to identify stream reaches with spawning chinook salmon. Sampling will be designed to encompass all such areas. The goal is to collect at least 50, and if possible 100, samples from each potential population. Adjustments will be made each year in the sampling program to help meet this goal. Tissue samples taken from each fish will include eye, heart, liver, and cheek muscle (allozyme analysis), caudal fin clips and scale samples (DNA analyses) and otoliths. All samples will be labeled for cross-reference and processed at the CDFG Anadromous Salmonid Genetic Tissue Collection and Archive Project (Tissue Archive) according to existing protocols. according to existing protocols. Allozyme tissue samples will be frozen on dry ice immediately upon collection and shipped to the NMFS genetics laboratory at the Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC) for genetic analysis and storage. Samples collected for DNA analyses will be divided with one part shipped to the NMFS Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) Santa Cruz laboratory for analysis and one portion stored at the Tissue Archive. Otoliths will be shipped to the CDFG Stream Evaluation Program Laboratory for analysis and storage.

Subtask 2.1 – First twelve months of tissue collection and archiving.

Subtask 2.2 – Second twelve months of tissue collection and archiving.

Subtask 2.3 – Final six months of tissue collection and archiving.

Task 3. Otolith Microstructure Analysis. Otolith microstructure will be analyzed to confirm the pattern of runtiming for each fish sampled. Sagittal otoliths will be dissected and archived in tissue culture trays. Otoliths will be viewed with a light microscope and images digitized using either *Bony Parts* or *Image-Pro Plus* imaging software. Benchmarks such as the hatching check, first-feeding check, and changes in microstructural patterns associated with ambient conditions during migration (e.g. temperature, salinity) will be identified. Benchmarks will be both qualitatively evaluated through visual interpretation and measured. Measurements will include radial distances from the otolith primordium to the given check mark, and otolith increment (ring) widths in detectable growth zones observed past the first-feeding check. The number of daily rings will be counted in observed zones of the otolith corresponding to rearing by the fish in each growth zone. A scale sample will be taken from a sub-sample of individuals from each collection and cross-referenced with the corresponding otoliths with the same code.

Subtask 3.1 – First twelve months of otolith analysis. Run confirmation assigned to tissue samples.

Subtask 3.2 – Second twelve months of otolith analysis. Run confirmation assigned to tissue samples.

Subtask 3.3 – Final six months of otolith analysis. Run confirmation assigned to all tissue samples.

Subtask 3.4 – Report preparation final six months.

Task 4. Allozyme Analysis. Standardized methods of allozyme analysis will be used to survey up to 70 gene loci (Aebersold et al. 1987, Utter et al. 1987a) from approximately 350 fish per year. Genotype and allele frequency data will be computed for statistical analyses. Statistical analyses will include contingency table tests to examine differences in allele frequencies (Weir 1996). Cluster analysis such as multidimensional scaling (Kruskal 1964) and cluster dendrograms (Sneath and Sokal 1963) will be used



to analyze pairwise genetic distances. A hierarchical gene diversity analysis (Chakraborty et al. 1982) will be used to partition genetic variation into geographic and temporal levels. Results of the gene diversity and cluster analyses will be used to infer genetic relationships among populations with respect to life-history (run-time) information derived from otolith analysis, spawn time, and spawning location. The methods of Weir (1996) will be used to estimate levels of gene flow among populations, effective population sizes and times of divergence among populations. Allozyme data will be integrated with microsatellite data to develop comprehensive models of population structure that are consistent with observed patterns of genetic variation.

Subtask 4.1 – First twelve months of allozyme analysis.

Subtask 4.2 – Second twelve months of allozyme analysis.

Subtask'4.3 – Final six months of **months** of allozyme analysis.

Subtask 4.4 – Report preparation.

Subtask 4.5 – Presentation by Co-Principal Investigators to CALFED.

Task 5. DNA Analysis. A standardized set of at least 25 microsatellite loci will be examined in all collected samples and, where available, in archived samples previously analyzed with allozyme loci. These loci will include all markers previously typed in Central Valley chinook salmon populations (Table 1) and an additional set currently under development by the NMFS Santa Cruz Laboratory (J.C. Garza, unpublished data). Genetic distances will be calculated and a hierarchical analysis will be performed to determine fine-scale genetic population structure, both within rivers and for the entire ecosystem. Genetic relationships will be related to life-history data such as spawn timing, spawning location and information inferred in otolith analyses. Levels of gene flow between populations, effective population sizes and the demographic trajectory of populations will also be estimated (Goldstein, et al. 1995; Slatkin, 1995; Garza and Williamson 2000). Additionally, population-wide immigrant assignment tests will be performed to estimate the level of hybridization between hatchery and naturally spawned fish and to identify strays for genetic estimates of straying rates (Rannala and Mountain 1997; Comuet et al. 1999). The relatively large number of markers (25) is necessary due to the high variance associated with statistics calculated from microsatellite data (Goldstein et al. 1995; Garza and Williamson, 2000). Microsatellite data will be integrated with allozyme data to develop comprehensive models of population structure that are consistent with observed patterns of genetic variation.

Subtask **5.1** – First twelve months of microsatellite analysis.

Subtask 5.2 – Second twelve months of microsatellite analysis.

Subtask 53 – Final six months of months of microsatellite analysis.

Subtask 5.4 – Report preparation.

Subtask 5.5 – Presentation by Co-Principal Investigators to CALFED.

Task 6. Project Management

Subtask 6.1 - Preparation of quarterly fiscal and programmatic reports.

Subtask 6.2 – Preparation of annual monitoring reports.

Subtask 6.3 – Preparation of final fiscal report to CALFED upon contract completion.

Subtask 64 -Annual coordination/review meetings of CDFG and NMFS project participants

c. Monitoring and Assessment **Plans** - A Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP) will be developed and submitted to CALFED for review and approval before beginning field collection activities.

d. Data Handling and Storage – The Tissue Archive will maintain an electronic information database of all samples collected, transmitted to the NMFS, and archived by CDFG for later analysis. Raw genotypic



data and allele frequency data will be compiled into the Pacific Rim Chinook Salmon Allozyme Database (Teel et al. 1999) and a new electronic database for microsatellite DNA data of California salmonids (created and managed by NMFS' geneticists). These databases will be available on request or on the internet. Results of data analysis will be described in reports submitted to CALFED and in scientific publications or technical reports.

e. Expected Products/Outcomes - Quarterly fiscal and programmatic reports will be submitted by the 10" day of the month following the end of each quarter (January, April, July, and October). The reports will summarize the activities and expenditures, broken down to specific task level that were performed during the previous quarter.

An Annual Monitoring Report summarizing sample and genetic data collections will be provided at the end of Years 1 and 2. The study's final report will provide a summary of each year's sample and genetic data collections and an analysis of otolith microstructure and genetic population structures as 'outlinedabove. Upon completion of the proposed work, all genetic and otolith data collected during the study will be made available to Central Valley chinook salmon recovery teams for application to individual restoration projects. Additionally, the databases will be made available by request or on the internet and it is expected that, in addition to the reports described above, the results of the analyses will be published in scientific publications or technical reports.

Three annual meetings will be held by the project Co-Principal Investigators. These meetings will serve as an opportunity for Co-Principal Investigators and other project personnel to assess project status and meet with CALFED for a program review. Progress towards completion of goals will be assessed and adjustments made as needed to meet project milestones and product deadlines will be incorporated. These meetings will also serve to discuss working hypotheses and project data, discuss how the project is contributing to improved ecosystem health, and to share information among project personnel.

f. Work Schedule. Table 2 outlines start dates, milestones, timeline, and completion dates for each task and subtask on a relative month basis (assuming the contract begins April 1,2001). Although all the tasks are necessary to provide a complete description of population genetic structure for Central Valley chinook salmon, incremental funding by CALFED would be possible for Tasks 1, 2, 6 combined and for Tasks 3,4 and 5 separately. Funding for Task 2 (field collections) needs to include all three proposed years in order to acquire adequate numbers of tissue samples.

g. Feasibility. The proposed work and completion schedule is commensurate with the recent pilot genetic study of Central Valley chinook salmon completed by CDFG and NMFS (Table 1, Schiewe 1999).

CDFG has demonstrated its ability to reliably collect and archive anadromous salmonid tissue and perform otolith microstructure analysis. The Tissue Archive provided substantial support to the proposed evaluation's pilot study as well as to past and ongoing genetic studies (e.g. Nielsen et al. 1999; Schiewe 1999; Teel et al. 1999; Banks et al. 2000), including CALFED-funded studies (Developing a Genetic Baseline for San Joaquin Salmon - Proposal 1121). CDFG is also performing otolith analysis under a CALFED contract for the comprehensive implementation plan of a statistically-designed marking/tagging program for Central Valley hatchery-produced chinook salmon and steelhead trout.

Geneticists at the NMFS have demonstrated expertise in population genetics techniques over the last three decades. NMFS geneticists have published extensively on chinook salmon genetics, including peer reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and technical reports. Several of those most pertinent to this proposal are included in the literature cited. A team of NMFS geneticists, including two of the coprincipal investigators of the proposed study, recently completed coastwide status reviews of chinook salmon under NMFS' ESA mandates (Myers et al. 1998).

No state permit is required for CDFG to collect and process tissue samples taken from carcasses of adult chinook salmon. Although the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) generally prohibits the take or possession of live or dead animals that are listed by the State as threatened or endangered (in this



case, spring-run chinook salmon within the Central Valley) without a permit, State regulations expressly authorize CDFG employees and agents to take and possess these animals for Scientific purposes (Title 14 California Code of Regulations, Section 783.1(c)).

CDFG believes this project falls within the Class 6 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) categorical exemption and that no CEQA environmental document need be prepared. Class 6 exempt activities consist of "basic data collection, research, experimental management and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource....". This exemption is appropriate because the project will not result in mortality or injury to a living animal listed under CEQA.

No Federal Endangered Species Act Section 10(a)1[A] Research Permit for scientific purposes is presently needed by the Department to collect the proposed chinook salmon tissue samples. CDFG will not be performing tissue collections of federally-listed endangered Sacramento winter-run chinook salmon. Winter-run tissue samples will be obtained from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service who possess a Section 10(a)1[A] Research Permit from NMFS to perform collections and sampling of Sacramento winter-run chinook salmon as part of the Winter-run Chinook Salmon Artificial Propagation Program. No Federal Endangered Species Act Section 10(a)1[A] Research Permit for scientific purposes is presently needed by the Department to collect Central Valley spring- or fall-/late fall-run chinook salmon tissues from carcasses since NMFS has not yet promulgated a 4(d) Rule prohibiting take of Central Valley chinook salmon. If, during the execution of the proposed three-year study, a 4(d) Rule is promulgated for Central Valley spring-or fall-/late fall-run chinook salmon and permits for scientific research are necessitated, the Department will request NMFS amend the Department's existing Section 10(a)1[A] Research Permit which presently authorizes specific levels of take for Sacramento winter-run chinook salmon. In such a case, NMFS would be the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Lead Agency for authorizing take for scientific purposes.

If CDFG receives federal funding through CALFED, the Department believes this project qualifies for a categorical exclusion under NEPA because it is environmentally benign in the short term and should produce data that can be used to benefit fish species. The project qualifies as "... research, inventory, and information collection activities directly related to the conservation of fish and wildlife resources which involve negligible animal mortality or habitat destruction.." (Department of Interior Manual, Part 516, Chapter 6, Section 1.4 B (1)).

CDFG has the following public access for performing carcass tissue sampling: (i) American River, (ii) Battle Creek, (iii) Butte Creek, (iv) Big Chico Creek, (v) Clear Creek, (vi) Consumnes River, (vii) Feather River, (viii) Mokelumne River, (ix) Upper Sacramento River and, (x) Yuba River. CDFG has access permission across private land (see attached letters of permission) for Mill and Deer creeks. Assessment of where access sites are needed to perform tissue collection needs to be performed for all remaining waters in this proposal. Determination of preferred access locations will be performed during the spring/summer 2000. Permission will be acquired in concert with other CDFG field monitoring programs within a given watershed. CDFG will notify CALFED of any additional access sites within 30 days of notification of proposal approval.



Applicability to CALFED ERP Goals and Implementation Plan and CVPIA Priorities

1. ERP Goals and CVPIA Priorities

The proposed study of genetic population structure of Central Valley chinook salmon will conduct research identified as a priority in CALFED's E W and MSCS as having the potential to meet the ERP's Strategic Goal 1 – At-Risk Species: "Achieve recovery of at-risk native species as the first step toward establishing large, self-sustaining populations of these species". All Central Valley chinook salmon populations are identified as "First Priority Species" for recovery by CALFED. Specifically, the proposed research will address the goals stated in the subsection "Fishery Monitoring Assessment, and Research". It will provide a "genetic assessment of Central Valley salmonids" and will improve and expand the inventory and assessment of fishery resources. The proposed research will also help to achieve Strategic Goal 3 – Harvestable Species, because of the fact that Central Valley chinook salmon are a harvested species.

A basin-wide framework for the understanding of genetic relationships' among populations is crucial for the proper design and recovery and restoration efforts and can be used to refine management actions undertaken as part of CALFED's ERP. Moreover, the Viable Salmonid Populations (VSP) framework (McElhany 1999) defines geneticilife-history diversity of populations as one of four major categories upon which the status of populations will be assessed.

Additionally, knowledge of genetic population structure will also be necessary to assess the success and impact of habitat restoration projects (e.g. Clear Creek after removal of Saeltzer Dam and removal of migration barriers to adult chinook in Battle Creek) on chinook salmon through monitoring and assessment of pre- and post-project population composition.

Finally, study results will support effective management of programs such as artificial propagation and harvest (freshwater and ocean) for chinook salmon that reduces impacts to threatened and endangered salmon populations and facilitates their recovery in a manner consistent with ecosystem restoration (EWP Vol. I, pages 510-518 and 519-524).

2. Relationship to Other Ecosystem Restoration Projects.

This proposed evaluation will build upon existing products developed during previous genetics assessments for Central Valley chinook salmon (reviewed by CDFG 1998; Myers et al. 1998). The proposed study will also complement genetic and otolith microstructure studies funded by CALFED and presently underway: (i) Developing a Genetic Baseline for San Joaquin Salmon - Proposal 1121; (ii) Genetic Comparison of Stocks Considered for Re-establishing Steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in Clear Creek, a Tributary to the Upper Sacramento River; (iii) Central Valley Steelhead Genetic Evaluation; and (iv) Development of a Comprehensive Implementation Plan for Central Valley Hatchery-Produced Chinook Salmon and Steelhead.

3. System-Wide Ecosystem Benefits

Because of the widespread nature of chinook salmon in the Central Valley and the broad scope of the proposed study, this research will have system-wide benefits. In addition, the standardized genetic database can be used in Genetic Stock Identification (GSI) (Milner et al. 1985) methods to estimate the stock compositions of chinook salmon caught in ocean fisheries. GSI provides crucial information on the harvest of untagged wild populations. GSI applications have documented the contributions of Central Valley chinook salmon to commercial fisheries extending from California to southern British Columbia (Milner et al. 1985; Utter et al. 1987b; Gall et al, 1989; Shaklee et al. 1990). Results of the proposed study will provide more accurate estimates of the contributions of both hatchery and wild Central Valley



chinook salmon to ocean fisheries, which constitute an important source of mortality for these populations.

An improved baseline genetic database for Central Valley chinook salmon will also add accuracy and provide additional information when GSI methods are applied to chinook salmon test fisheries. The California Department of Fish and Game and NMFS have sponsored several test fisheries since 1997 in California coastal waters to (i) evaluate the accuracy of certain stock distribution parameters which are used in ocean harvest models based on tag recovery data and (ii) provide contribution rate estimates of rarely encountered stocks (e.g. ESA-listed winter-run chinook), for which tag recovery data do not exist or are inadequate. Staff from CDFG's Ocean Salmon Project and NMFS' SWFSC and NWFSC have cooperated in studies to estimate the contribution rates of various chinook stocks to test fisheries both north and south of San Francisco Bay. The resulting estimates of the proportions of Klamath River fall-, Central Valley winter- and spring-run chinook salmon intercepted in these fisheries have played pivotal roles in harvest management decisions (e.g. Hogarth 1998; McInnis and Stelle 1999).

Finally, GSI techniques are also being used by NMFS geneticists to study the juvenile migration patterns of chinook salmon in the California Current as part of the U.S. Global Ocean Ecosystems Dynamics (GLOBEC) research program (project abstract can be viewed on the World Wide Web at http://www-welllab.biol.berkeley.edu/nep/projs.html). These investigations are coordinated with similar studies of juvenile chinook salmon in marine waters along much of the coast of North America. CALFED's ERP recognizes the importance of monitoring ocean conditions and potential affects on Central Valley chinook salmon (design report of the Comprehensive Monitoring Assessment and Research Program June 1999). Accurate genetic characterizations of populations in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers will be necessary to link regional ocean conditions with Central Valley chinook salmon.



Qualifications

Ms. Deborah McKee (CDFG) will oversee the study as Project Manager. Ms. McKee will also serve as Co-Principal Investigator. Ms. McKee has 19 years of experience in fisheries management, the last five years as the CDFG Recovery Coordinator for Threatened and Endangered Salmon. Ms. McKee was the principal author of CDFG's status review of spring-run chinook salmon in the Sacramento River drainage (CDFG 1998).

Mr. David Teel (NMFS, NWFSC) will serve as Co-Principal Investigator. Mr. Teel will coordinate the collection, management, analysis, and reporting of allozyme data for NMFS. Mr. Teel has over twenty years experience with the Genetics Program at the NWFSC. Present responsibilities include coordinator of the Pacific Rim Chinook Salmon Allozyme Database and principal investigator of GLOBEC Juvenile Salmon Genetic Stock Identification Study.

Dr. John Carlos Garza (NMFS, SWFSC, Santa Cruz Laboratory) will serve as Co-Principal Investigator and will coordinate the collection, management analysis, and reporting of microsatellite data. Dr Garza has more than 10 years experience working with DNA technology and has worked extensively on the theory and application of microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA to the study of natural populations. Dr. Garza is the leader of the genetics program at the NMFS Santa Cruz Laboratory.

Dr. Gary Winans (NMFS, NWFSC) will serve as Co-Principal Investigator and conduct analysis of genetic data. Dr. Winans has over twenty years experience with the NWFSC Genetics Program. Present responsibilities include lead geneticist for NMFS' Pacific Salmon Treaty Genetic Stock Identification Study and Columbia Basin Steelhead Genetics studies.

Dr. Jim Myers (NMFS, NWFSC) will serve as Co-Principal Investigator and conduct analysis of genetic data. Dr. Myers has over fifteen years experience as a Research Fishery Biologist, .the last five with the Conservation Biology Division at the NWFSC. Dr. Myers leads NMFS' ESA Status Reviews for chinook salmon and is principal investigator of the GLOBEC Juvenile Salmon Genetic Stock Identification Study.

Mr. Bill Snider (CDFG) will act as Co-Principal Investigator and supervise the otolith microstructure research task. Mr. Snider is a graduate of the University of California at Davis and has 28 years of experience with CDFG, including 20 years experience with the Stream Evaluation Program of the Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch. Currently, as supervisor of the Stream Evaluation Program, Mr. Snider oversees monitoring and research on anadromous salmonids in the Central Valley, including investigations on population dynamics, habitat relationships and life history strategies of chinook salmon and steelhead.

'Dr.Robert Titus (CDFG) will serve as a Co-Principal Investigator. Dr. Titus will be primarily responsible for performing the otolith analysis and reporting the results. Dr. Titus has worked on the CDFG Stream Evaluation Program for 7 years and has 12 years of experience working on anadromous salmonids, including various salmon and steelhead monitoring programs and applications of otolith microstructure analysis to evaluate population structure and life history strategy relationships with habitat conditions.



1. Budget

The total budgeted costs requested from CALFED for this proposal (assuming an 18.54% administrative overhead rate for State funds) is \$1,321,585. This cost is based on three years of field collection, three years of laboratory analysis of otoliths, allozyme, and DNA, and a final report summarizing the study's findings. The breakdown of these costs are displayed to the subtask level in Table 3a (using an 18.54% administrative overhead rate for State funds) and Table 3b (using a 20% administrative overhead rate for Federal funds) and allocated across the cost categories as specified in the Proposal Solicitation Package.

The personnel costs for Task 2 (Tissue Collection and Archive) are based on CDFG utilizing seven Scientific Aids for nine months each during Year 1 and Year 2 and six person-months during Year 3 to perform field sampling. Staff benefits are based on the current State factor of 7.66% for estimating employee benefits' costs for temporary staff. Operating expenses (including rent, telephones, general office staff support, travel, field and laboratory supplies including chemicals, and the lease of two vehicles totals \$40,800 each for Year 1 and Year 2. In Year 3, operating expenses total \$26,990.

Tissue storage will require the purchase of two ultra-cold (-80F) freezers during Year 1 at \$10,000 each. One freezer will be located at CDFG's Red Bluff offices where one of the two teams of field staff will be located throughout the course of the study. The second freezer will be located at the Tissue Archive Laboratory in Rancho Cordova. Purchase af a third freezer will be needed in Year 2 at a cost of \$10,000. A portion of all samples will be archived and made available to qualified researchers upon request. Project costs for tissue collection also include a one-time expenditure of \$2,500 for a boat motor and \$2,500 for a computer to maintain the tissue sample data records.

The personnel costs for Task 3 (Otolith Microstructure Analysis) are based on CDFG utilizing Scientific Aids for a total of 24 person-months during Year 1 and Year 2 and a total of 12 person-months during Year 3 to prepare and analyze the otoliths under the direct supervision of CDFG Associate and Senior Biological staff. Operating expenses are for the cost **of** chemicals and minor supplies for performing the microstructure analysis.

The Service Contract will consist of an agreement with NMFS for performing the allozyme (Task 4) and DNA analyses (Task 5). The Service Contract cost is \$206,244 for Year 1, \$137,038 for Year 2, and \$130,780 for Year 3. A team of four Fishery Biologists and one Geneticist (David Teel, Dr. Jim Myers, Don Van Doornik, David Kuligowski, and Dr. Gary Winans) will perform the allozyme analysis. The direct labor hours total 880 each year for a cost of \$45,465 each year. Supplies and expenses total \$10,000 each year for the allozyme analysis. Total labor for preparation of the report summarizing results of the allozyme analysis is 320 hours for a cost of \$20,394. NMFS salaries for team members range from \$41.25 to \$21.25 per hour. The standard NMFS benefit rate is 22.3%. The overhead rate (for salaries and benefits) is 64%. The combined cost for David Teel, Dr. Jim Myers, and Dr. Gary Winans to travel to the annual meeting with CDFG per Task 6.4 is \$2,520 per year.

The personnel costs for performing the DNA analysis (Task 5) are based on a total 5200 total labor hours over three years for a team of Scientific Aids at \$13.97 an hour per person. Cost for performing DNA analysis includes \$62,500 for laboratory supplies over three years and \$69,206 for purchase of analytical equipment in Year 1. The personnel costs for preparation of the report summarizing results of the DNA analysis is based on 260 total labor hours for Dr. John Carlos Garza at cost of \$12,624. The cost for Dr. John Carlos Garza to travel to the annual meeting with CDFG per Task 6.4 is \$500 per year.



2. Cost-Sharing

There are no cost-sharing requirements for the proposed project. However, cost-sharing will occur in the form of in-kind services of permanent staff time, equipment, and facilities by CDFG and the NMFS. CDFG is providing \$316,940 in staff time for project management, field and laboratory staff supervision, tissue processing, archiving, otolith analysis, and report preparation. In addition to this amount, CDFG is providing existing facilities and equipment associated with the Tissue Archive and Otolith Laboratory.

NMFS is providing \$112,454 in staff time for Project Management, allozyme and DNA analysis, and report preparation. Additionally, the Northwest Fisheries Science Center and the Southwest Fisheries Science Center are each providing offices and laboratory spaces, laboratory equipment, computers, and miscellaneous supplies equal to \$100,000 to the project. The NMFS Santa Cruz Laboratory facilities include full technical and logistic support, an Applied BioSystems 377 automated DNA sequencer, 3 PCR machines, centrifuges, two desktop supercomputers and all other necessary pieces of equipment to perform the proposed research.



Local Involvement

CDFG has transmitted letters to all County Supervisor offices and their planning divisions notifying them of our proposal to CALFED (Attachments). CDFG has also transmitted letters to the various Resource Conservation Districts and local watershed organizations throughout the Central Valley who may be interested or affected by the project. CDFG's Anadromous Fisheries Restoration Coordinators meet on a regular basis with local watershed organizations and government and will provide continued contact throughout the course of *the* study.

There will be no third-party impacts. All access for field activities will be from public land or across private property where permission for access is granted by the landowner in writing.



Compliance with Standard Terms and Conditions

The applicant has reviewed the State and Federal standard terms contained in Attachments D (State) and E (Federal) and will comply with all terms.

ORIGINAL

Literature Cited

- Aebersold, P. B., G. A. Winans, D. J. **Teel**, G. B. Milner, and F. M. Utter. 1987. Manual for starch gel electrophoresis: a method for the detection of genetic variation. NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) Technical Report NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) 61, Seattle.
- Banks, M.A., V.K. Rashbrook, M.J. Calavetta, C.A. Dean, and D. Hedgecock. Analysis of microsatellite DNA resolves genetic structure and diversity of chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in California's Central Valley. 2000. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 57: 915-927.
- Banks, M. and M. Bartron. 1999. Microsatellite DNA variation among Klamath River chinook salmon sampled from fall and spring runs. Completion Report March 1999. Submitted to the Yurok Tribe Fisheries Program..
- Bartley, D., B. Bentley, J. Brodziak, R. Gomulkiewicz, M. Mangel, and G.A.E. Gall. 1992. Geographic variation in population genetic structure of chinook salmon from California and Oregon. U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service Fishery Bulletin 90:77_100 (authorship amended per errata, Fishery Bulletin 90[3]:iii).
- Beacham, T. D., R. E. Withler, and T. A. Stevens. 1996. Stock identification of chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) using minisatellite DNA variation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 53:380-394.
- California Department of Fish and Game. 1998. A Status Review of the Spring-Run Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus Tshawytscha*) in the Sacramento River Drainage. Candidate Species Report to the Fish and Game Commission, 98-01.
- Chakraborty, R., M. Hagg, N. Ryman, and G. Stahl. 1982. Hierarchical gene diversity analysis and its application to brown trout population data. Hereditas 97:17-21.
- Comuet, JM, S. Piry, G. Luikart, A. Estoup, M. Solignac.1999 New methods employing multilocus genotypes to select or exclude populations as origins of individuals. Genetics 153: 1989-2000.
- Gall, G.A.E., and eight coauthors. 1989. Chinook Mixed Fishery Project. University of California, Davis. 192p. + appendices.
- Garza, J.G., and E.G. Williamson. 2000. Detection of reduction in population size using data from microsatellite loci. Molecular Ecology In press.
- Goldstein, D.B., A.R. Linares, L.L. Cavalli-Sforza and M.W. Feldman. 1995 An evaluation of genetic distances for use with microsatellite loci. Genetics 139: 463-471.
- Grant, W.S., J.L. Garcia-Marin, and F.M. Utter. 1999. Defining Population Boundaries for Fishery Management. Pages 27-72. *In S. Mustafa* (ed.), *Genetics in Sustainable Fisheries Management*. Fishing News Books, Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.223p.
- Hallock, R.J. and D.H. Fry, Jr. 1967. Five species of salmon, *Oncorhynchus*, in the Sacramento River, California. Calif. Fish Game 53:5-22.



- Hedgecock, D., M.A. Banks, S.M. Blankenship, and M.J. Calvetta. 1995. Genetic evidence for admixture and hybridization of spring- and winter-run chinook salmon in an artificial propagation program. Unpublished manuscript, 23pp.
- Hogarth, W.T, Regional Administrator Southwest Region, National Marine Fisheries Service. January 30, 1998, letter to Jerry Mallet, Chairman, Pacific Fisheries Management Council regarding the April 1998commercial salmon fishery between Point San Pedro and Point Sur, California.
- Kim, T.J., K.M. Paarker, and P.W. Hedrick. 1999. Major histochemical complex differentiation in Sacramento River Chinook Salmon. Genetics. 151:1115-1122.
- Kruskal, J.B. 1964. Multidemensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to anonmetric hypothesis. Psychometrika 29:1-27.
- Marshall, A. R., C. Smith, R. Brix, W. Dammers, J. Hymer, and L. LaVoy. 1995. Genetic diversity units and major ancestral lineages for chinook salmon in Washington. In C. Busack and J. B. Shaklee (editors), Genetic diversity units and major ancestral lineages of salmonid fishes in Washington. **p** 111-173. Wash. Dep. Fish Wildl. Tech. Rep. RAD 95-02.
- McClane, A.J. 1978. Field Guide to Freshwater Fishes of North America. H. Holt and Co., N.Y., 232 p.
- McElhany, P., M. Ruckelshaus, M.J. Ford, T. Wainwright, and E. Bjorkstedt. 1999. Viable Salmonid Populations and the Recovery of Evolutionarily Significant Units. Draft Report (December 13 1999) Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle.
- McInnis, R. R. and Stelle, W.W., Regional Administrators Southwest and Northwest Regions, National Marine Fisheries Service. October 15, 1999, letter to Jim Lone, Chairman, Pacific Fisheries Management Council regarding ESA requirements for 2000 ocean salmon harvest management measures.
- Milner, G. B., D. J. Teel, F. M. Utter, and G. A. Winans. 1985 A genetic method of stock identification in mixed populations of Pacific salmon, Oncorhynchus spp. U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service Marine Fisheries Review 47:1-8.
- Myers, J. M., and ten coauthors. 1998. Status Review of chinook salmon from Washington, Idaho, Oregon, and California. NOAA (National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration) Technical Memorandum NMFS-NWFSC-35 (National Marine Fisheries Service), Seattle.
- Nielsen, J.L. 1995. Mitochondrial DNA Frequency Distributions in Chinook Salmon form the Sacramento-San Joaquin Basin and Guadalupe River 1992-1994. Report to California Department of Fish and Game.
- Nielsen, J.L., M.C. Fountain, D.R. Sundermeyer, E.L. Heine, C.L. Malone, and O.L. Avelino. 1999. Microsatellites Variation in Chinook Salmon Spawning Runs from the Central Valley, California, 1992-1997. Report to California Department of Fish and Game.
- Quinn, T.P. and M.J. Unwin. 1993. Variation in life history patterns among New Zealand chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchustshawytscha*) populations. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50:1414-1421.

- ORIGINAL
- Quinn, T.P., and six coauthors. 1996. Origin and genetic structure of chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, transplanted from California to New Zealand allozyme and mtDNA evidence. U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service Fishery Bulletin 94:506-521.
- Rannala, B. and J.L. Mountain. 1997. Detecting immigration by using multilocus genotypes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 94: 9197-9201.
- Schiewe, M.H. 1999. Memorandum from M.H. Schiewe (NWFSC, NMFS) to W. Stelle (Northwest Region, NMFS) and W. Hogarth (Southwest Region, NMFS) dated 16 July 1999. 100 p. (Available from Protected Resources Division, NMFS, 525 N.E. Oregon St., Suite 500, Portland, OR 97232.)
- Shaklee, J. B., C. Busack, A. Marshall, M. Miller, and S. R. Phelps. 1990. The electrophoretic analysis of mixed-stock fisheries of Pacific salmon. Pages 235-265 in Z. I. Ogita and C. L. Markert, editors. Isozymes: structure, function, and use in biology and medicine. Progress in Clinical and Biological Research Volume 344. Wiley-Liss, New York.
- Slater, D.W. 1963. Winter-run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River, California, with notes on water temperature requirements at spawning. Fish Wildlife Service Special Science Report Fisheries 461:9.
- Slatkin, M. 1995. A measure of population subdivision based on microsatellite allele frequencies. Genetics 139: 457-462.
- Sneath, P. H. A., and R. R. Sokal. 1963. Numerical taxonomy. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Teel, D.J., P.A. Crane, C.M. Guthrie III, A.R. Marshall, D.M. Van Doomik, W.D. Templin, N.V. Vamavskya, and L.W.Seeb. 1999. Comprehensive allozyme database discriminates chinook salmon around the Pacific Rim. (NPAFC document 440) 25p. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, Alaska USA 99518.
- Teel, D.J., G.B. Milner, G.A. Winans, and W.S. Grant. 2000. Genetic population structure and origin of life history types in chinook salmon in British Columbia, Canada. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 129:194-209.
- Utter, F., P. Aebersold, and G. Winans. 1987a. Interpreting genetic variation detected by electrophoresis. Pages 21-45 *in* N. Ryman and F. Utter, editors. Population genetics and fishery management. University of Washington Press, Seattle.
- Utter, F.M., D.W. Chapman, and A.R. Marshall. 1995. Genetic Population Structure and History of Chinook Salmon of the Upper Columbia River. Pages 149-165 in J.L. Nielsen and D.A. Powers, editors. Evolution and the aquatic ecosystem: defining unique units in population conservation. American Fisheries Society, Symposium 17, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Utter, F., G. Milner, G. Stahl, and D. Teel. 1989. Genetic population structure of chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, in the Pacific Northwest. U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service Fishery Bulletin 87:239_264.
- Utter, F., D. Teel, G. Milner, and D. McIsaac. 1987b. Genetic estimates of stock compositions of 1983 chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, harvests off the Washington coast and the Columbia River. U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service Fishery Bulletin 85:13-23.



- Yoshiyama, R,M, E.R. Gerstung, F.W. Fisher, P.B. Moyle. 2000. Chinook salmon in the California Central Valley: an Assessment. Fisheries 25:6-20.
- Yoshiyama, R.M., E.R. Gerstung, F.W. Fisher, P.B. Moyle. 1996. Historical and present distribution of chinook salmon in the Central Valley Drainage of California. Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress, vol. III. p 309-361.
- Waples, R. S., G. A. Winans, F. M. Utter, and C. Mahnken. 1990. Genetic approaches to the management of Pacific Salmon. Fisheries 15:19-25.
- Weir, B.S. 1996. Genetic Data Analysis 11. Sunderland, M.A. Sinauer Associates Inc.

California and southern Oregon chinook salmon





Figure 1. UPGMA dendrogram of Cavalli-Sforza and Edward (1967) chord distances based on 34 allozyme loci between 41 composite samples of chinook salmon from California and southern Oregon. From Schiewe 1999.

ORIGINAL



Geographic Project.Boundaryfor
Genetic Population Structure of
Central Valley Chinook Salmon Evaluation
May 4,2000



State of California
The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Native Anadmmous Fish
and Watershed Branch

Teste Albers
Projection: Albers
Units: Motors
Debart: NACC7
1st standard penalist: 34 0 0.000
2nd standard penalist: 40 30 0.000
Central moridian: -120 0 0.000
Lettade of projections origin: 0 0 0.000
False earting (metern): -0.0000
False northing (metern): -400000.0000

Table 1. Central Valley Chinook Salmon Genetic Samples

Sample Source	Run	Previaus Allozyme Samples (Year)	Previous DNA Samples (Year)	Previous DNA Samples (Year) ^{II}	Additional Samples (Years 2001-2003)
American River	Fall	11 (1998) 71 (1999) Total = 82	90 (1995)	5 (1994) 21 (1995) 9 (1996) Total =35	50
Antelope Creek	Fall				100
Antelope Creek	Spring				100
Battle Creek	Fall	43 (1999)			50
Battle Creek	Late-fall				100
Battle Creek	Spring				100
Beegum Creek (tributary to Cottonwood Creek)	Spring				100
Big Chico Creek	Fall				100
Big Chico Creek	Spring				100
Butte Creek	Fall	1 (1999)			100
Butte Creek	Spring	50 (1998) 52 (1999) Total = 102	67 (1994) 41 (1996) 35 (1996) [™] 117 (1997) Total = 260	26 (1993) 59 (1994) 28 (1995) 10 (1996) 14 (1997) Total = 137	50
Clear Creek	Fall				100
Clear Creek	Late-fall				100.
Clear Creek	Spring				100
Coleman NFH Hatchery	Fall		144 (1993) 95 (1994) 95 (1995) Total = 334	30 (1994) 30 (1995) Total = 60	None
Coleman NFH Hatchery	Late-fall	80 (1999)	143 (1993) 90 (1995) Total = 233	34 (1995) 26 (1996) 23 (1997) Total = 83	None
Coleman NFH Hatchery / Livingston Stone Hatchery	Winter	51 (1998)			100
Consumnes	Fall				100
Cottonwood Creek	Fall				100

Table 1. Central Valley Chinook Salmon Genetic Samples

Sample Source Run		Previous Allozyme Samples wear)	Previous DNA Samples (Year) ^{1/}	Previous DNA Samples (Year) ^{II}	Additional Samples (Years 2001-2003)
Cottonwood Creek	Late-fall				100
Deer Creek	Fall				100
Deer Creek	Late-fall				100
Deer Creek	Spring	32 (1998)	$30 (1994)^{3/2}$	25 (1992)	50
		27 (1999)	25 (1995)	51 (1993)	
		Total = 59	73 (1996)"	1 (1994)	
			49 (1997)	19 (1995)	
			Total = 177	21 (1997)	
				Total = I17	
Feather River	Fall	45 (1999)	75 (1995)	2 (1994)	50
			53 (1996)	11 (1995)	
			Total = 128	3 (1996)	
				Total = 16	
Feather River	Spring	39 (1999)			60
Feather River Hatchery	Fall	100(1999)		50 (1993)	50
				59. (1994)	
				30 (1995)	
				30 (1996)	
				Total = 169	
Feather River Hatchery	Spring	30 (1999)			50
Feather River sport fishery	Spring				50
Feather River sport fishery	Spring				50
Merced River	Fall	40 (1998)	88 (1995)	12 (1994)	None
		99 (1999)		22 (1995)	
		Total = 139		23 (1996)	
				Total $= 57$	
Merced River Hatchery	Fall	80 (1998)	95 (1995)	28 (1992)	None
·		104 (1999)		36 (1993)	
		Total = 184		45 (1994)	
				30 (1995)	
				27 (1996)	
				Total = 166	
Mill Creek	Fall				100
Mill Creek	Late-fall				100

Table 1. Central Valley Chinook Salmon Genetic Samples

Sample Source	Run	Previous Allozyme Samples (Year)	Previous DNA Samples (Year) ^{1/}	Previous DNA Samples (Year) **	Additional Samples (Years 2001-2003)
Mill Creek	Spring		15 (1995) 40 (1996) ³ / Total = 55	41 (1995)	100
Mokelumne River	Fall		94 (1995)	30 (1994) 29 (1995) 23 (1996) Total = 82	100
Mokelumne River Hatchery	Fall		95 (1995)	59 (1994) 27 (1995) 25 (1996) Total = 111	100
Nimbus Hatchery	Fall	77 (1999)	95 (1995)	52 (1993) 58 (1994) 29 (1995) 30 (1996) Total = 169	50
Stanislaus River	Fall	25 (1998) 80 (1999) Total = 105	26 (1994) 27 (1995) Total = 53	8 (1994) 12 (1995) Total = 20	None
Tuolumne River	Fall	35 (1998) 104 (1999) Total = 139	15 (1994) 29 (1995) 78 (1996) Total = 122	8 (1994) 12 (1995) Total= 20	None
Upper Sacramento River	Fall	8 (1998) 184(1999) Total – 192	94 (1995)		None
Upper Sacramento River	Late-fall	120 (1999) 38 (2000) Total= 158	90 (1995)	34 (1992) 31 (1995) Total= 65	None
Upper Sacramento River	Spring	4 (1999)			100 6

Table 1. Central Valley Chinook Salmon Genetic Samples

Sample Source Rur Upper Sacramento River Win		Previous Allozyme Samples (Year)	Previous DNA Samples. (Year)	Previous DNA Samples (Year)	Additional Samples (Years 2001-2003)
		198 (1999)	29 (1992) 4/ 26 (1994) 4/ 37 (1995) 4/ 18 (1991) 5/ 11 (1993) 5/ 32 (1995) 36 (1996) 103 (1997) Total = 292	25 (1995) 25 (1996) 34 (1997) Total= 84	None
Yuba River	Fall	1 (1998) 27 (1999) Total = 28	54 (1996)	18 (1995)	100
Ynba River	Late-fall				100
Yuba River	Spring				100

^{1/} DNA Samples as reported in Banks et al. 2000. Microsattelite loci used Ots-1, -2, -3, -5, -9, -10, -104, -107, Oneµ13, and Omy-77. 2/ DNA Samples as reported in Nielsen et al. 1999. Microsattelite loci used: Ots-1, Ots-2, Ots-4, Oneµ13, Omy-77, Omy-325, Ssa-4, Ssa-14, Ssa-85, and Ssa-289.

^{3/} Samples collected from outmigrating juveniles instead of carcasses.

^{4/} Collection was made at Keswick Dam Fish Trap

^{5/} Collection was made at Keswick Dam Fish Trap and Red Bluff Division Dam 6/ Collection to be made at Keswick Dam Fish Trap. Fin Clip only. To be collected by USFWS personnel.

Table 2. Timeline for Conducting Baseline Genetic Population Structure of Central Valley Chinook Salmon. (Relative Schedule and Milestone dates assuming Contract signed April 2001)

		Relative Milestones						
Task	Task Title	Year 1 Quartiles	Year 2 Quartiles	Year 3 Quartiles	Relative Completion Schedule			
	Sign Contract with CALFED				April 2001			
1	Quality Assurance Program Plan. Submit to CALFED within one month of contract execution for review and approval before beginning field collection activities.	f			One month May 2001			
2	Tissue Collection / Archive							
2.1	First 12 months of tissue collection and archiving.				June 2001 through May 2002			
2.2	Second 12 months of tissue collection and archiving.				June 2002 through			
2.3	Final 6 months of tissue collection and archiving.				May 2003 June 2003 through November 2003			
3	Otolith Microstructure Analysis							
3.1	First 12 months of otolith analysis.				June 2001 through May 2002			
3.2	Second 12 months of otolith analysis.				June 2002 through May 2003			
3.3	Final 6 months of otolith analysis				June 2003 through November 2003			
3.4	Report preparation.				December 2003 through March 2004			
4	Allozyme Analysis							
4.1	First 12 months of allozyme analysis.			$\prod \prod$	June 2001 through May 2002			
4.2	Second 12 months of allozyme analysis				June 2002 through May 2003			
4.3	Final 6 months of allozyme analysis,			機器	June 2003 through January 2004			



Table 2. Timeline for Conducting Baseline Genetic Population Structure of Central Valley Chinook Salmon. (Relative Schedule and Milestone dates assuming Contract signed April 2001)

		Relative Milestones	
		Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Relative Completion	
Task	Task Title	Quartiles Quartiles Schedule	
4.4	Report preparation and presentation to CALFED.	January 2004 through Ap 2004	pril
5	DNA Analysis		
5.1	First 12 months of microsatellite analysis.	June 2001 through May 2002	
5.2	Second 12 months of microsatellite analysis.	June 2002 through May 2003	
5.3	Final 6 months of microsatellite analysis.	June 2003 through January 2004	
5.4	Report preparation and presentation to CALFED.	January 2004 through Ap	pril
6	Project Management		
6.1	Quarterly Reports	10 th day of the month	
	Fiscal and programmatic reports will he submitted quarterly. Two working	following the end of each guarter (January, April,	h
	days expended per reporting period.	July, and October).	
6.2	Annual Monitoring Report will be provided at the end of Year 1 and Year 2	April 2002	
	summarizing sample and genetic data collections to date. One week per year.	. April 2003	
6.3	Final Fiscal Report	April 2004	
6.4	Annual Meetings (3-days each) between CDFG and NMFS Co-Principal	May 2001	
	Investigators.	May 2002	
		May 2003	
		March 2004	



Table 3a. Annual and total budget for Genetic Population Structure of Central Valley Chinook Salmon (with 18.54% overhead rate for State funded reim sursements)

Tuote ous Tilliau	Tand total budget for Geneue Pop		ructure or	Central va	теу стп	look Samion	(WILII 10.54	700verneac	Tac for Su		,
-					C	Subiect to Ove	, who a d			Exempt From Overhead	
				1		อนมเยตะ เอ UVE 	THEAU			Overnead	
		Direct Labor				Supplies &		Service	Overhead	Graduate Student Fee	T. 10
Year	Task 1/	Hours	Salary	Benefits	Travel	Expendables	Equipment	Contracts	(18.54%)	Remission	Total Cost
Year 1	Task 2 Tissue Collection and Archive										_
1	Task 2.1	10920	\$124,597	\$9,532	\$10,800	\$30,000	\$25,000		\$37,067		\$236,996
	Task 3 Otolith Microstructure Analysis										
1	Task 3.1	4160	\$47,466	\$3,631		\$2,400			\$9,918		\$63,415
1	Task 4 Allozyme Analysis			,							
	Task 4.1							\$55,465	\$10,283		\$65,748
	Task 5 DNA Analysis										
	Task 5.1							\$147,759	\$27,395		\$175,154
	Task 6 Project Management										
	Task 6.4							\$3,020	7.00		\$3,580
Total Cost Year			\$172,063	\$13,163	\$10,800	\$32,400	\$25,000	\$206,244	\$85,223	\$	\$544,892
Year 2	Task 2 Tissue Collection and Archive	٠.								- 100	
1	Task 2.2	10920	\$124,597	\$9,532	\$10,800	\$30,000	\$10,000		\$34,286		\$219,215
ļ.	Task 3 Otolith										
ļ	Microstructure Analysis										
	Task 3.2	4160	\$47,466	\$3,631		\$2,400		-	\$9,918		\$63,415
	Task 4 Allozyme Analysis				,						
.	Task 4.2							\$55,465	\$10,283		\$65,748
	Task 5 DNA Analysis						-				
	Task 5.2							\$78,553	\$14,564		\$93,117
	Task 6 Project Management										
	Task 6.4						,	\$3,020			\$3,580
Total Cost Year 2	2 '		\$172,063	\$13,163	\$10,800	\$32,400	\$10,000	\$137,038	\$69,611	\$1	\$445,075
Year 3	Task 2 Tissue Collection and Archive										

Table 3a. Annual and total budget for Genetic Population Structure of Central Valley Chinook Salmon (with 18.54% overhead rate for State funded reimbursements)

					s	ubject to Ove	rhead			Exempt From Overhead	
Year	Task ¹	Direct Labor Hours	Salary	Benefits	Travel	Supplies & Expendables	Equipment	Service Contracts	Overhead (18.54%)	Graduate Student Fee Remission	Total Cost
	Task 2.3	7280	\$83,065	\$6,354		4 49 - 2			\$21,582		\$137,992
	Task 3 Otolith Microstructure Analysis										
1	Task 3.3	1920	\$21,907	\$3,352		\$1,200			\$4,905		\$31,364
	Task 3.4	816	\$16,157	\$2,571					\$3,472		\$22,200
1	Task 4 Allozyme Analysis										
	Task 4.3							\$55,465	\$10,283		\$65,748
	Task 4.4							\$20,394	\$3,781		\$24,175
	Task 5 DNA Analysis										
	Task 5.3							\$39,277	\$7,282		\$46,559
	Task 5.4							\$12,624	\$2,340		
	Task 6 Project Management										
	Task 6.4							\$3,020	\$560		\$3,580
Total Cost Year 3	3		\$121,129	\$12,277	\$7,200	\$20,990	\$0	-\$130,780	\$54,207	\$0	\$331,618
otal Project Co	ost		\$465,2541	\$38,603	\$28,800	\$85,7901	\$35,0001	\$474,0621	\$209,040	ı \$0	\$1,321,585

^{1/} Tasks not included in the above table are being funded through in-kind services by CDFG and NMFS.



able 3b. Annua	al and total budget for Genetic Po	pulatior	tructure of	Central V	alley Chin	ook Salmon	(with 20%	overhead ra	ate for Fede	ral funded rei <u>u</u>	bursement)
					Su	ibject to Overl	head			Exempt Fron Overhead	
·	-	Direct		-		Ι,			l .	Graduate	
		Labor				Supplies &		Service	Overhead	Student Fee	
Year	Task [₽]	Hours	Salary	Benefits	Travel	Expendables	Equipment	Contracts	(20%)	Remission	Total Cost
Year 1	Task 2 Tissue Collection and Archive									-	
	Task 2.1	10920	3124,597	39,532	\$10,800	\$30,000	\$25,000		\$39,986		\$239,915
	Task 3 Otolith Microstructure Analysis									-	
	Task 3.1	4160	\$47,466	\$3,631		\$2,400			\$10,699		\$64,196
	Task 4 Allozyme Analysis										
	Task 4.1							\$55,465	\$11,093		\$66,558
	Task 5 DNA Analysis										
	Task 5.1							\$147,759	\$29,552		\$177,311
	Task 6 Project Management						l	L], ,,,	l	
	Task6.4		-					\$3,020	1 \$6041	[\$3,624
otal Cost Year			\$172,063	\$13,163	\$10,800	\$32,400	\$25,000	\$206,244	\$91,934	\$0	\$551,604
Year 2	Task 2 Tissue Collection and Archive										
	Task 2.2	10920	\$124,597	\$9,532	\$10,800	\$30,000	\$10,000		\$36,986		\$221,915
	Task 3 Otolith										
	Microstructure Analysis										
	Task 3.2	4161	\$47,466	\$3,631		\$2,400			\$10,699		\$64,196
	Task 4 Allozyme Analysis_										
	Task 4.2							\$55,465	\$11,093		\$66,558
	Task 5 DNA Analysis										
	Task 5.2	!				<u> </u>		\$78,553	\$15,7111		\$94,264
	Task 6 Project Management							1			
	Task 6.4							\$3,020	\$604		\$3,624
otal Cost Year 2	2		\$172,063	\$13,163	\$10,800	\$32,400	\$10,000	\$137,038	\$75,093	\$1	\$450,556
Year 3	Task 2 Tissue Collection and Archive										

Table 3b. Annual and total budget for Genetic Population Structure of Central Valley Chinook Salmon (with 20% overhead rate for Federal funded reimbursement)

			Subject to Overhead					Exempt From Overhead			
ĺ ,		Direct				Committee Po		Service	Overhead	Graduate Student Fee	
Year	Task ¹	Labor Hours	Salary	Benefits	Travel	Supplies & Expendables	Equipment		(20 %)	Remission	Total Cost
	Task 2.3	7280	\$83,065						\$23,282		\$139,691
	Task 3 Otolith Microstructure Analysis					-					
	Task 3.3	1920	\$21,907	\$3,352		\$1,200			\$5,292		\$31,751
	Task 3.4	816	\$16,157	\$2,571					\$3,746		\$22,474
	Task 4 Allozyme Analysis										
	Task 4.3							\$55,465	\$11,093		\$66,558
	Task 4.4							\$20,394	\$4,079		\$24,473
	Task 5 DNA Analysis										
	Task 5.3		'					\$39,277	\$7,855		\$47,132
	Task 5.4					_		\$12,624	\$2,525	1	
	Task 6 Project Management								,		
	Task 6.4							\$3,020	\$604		\$3,624
Total Cost Year 3			\$121,129	\$12,277	\$7,200	\$20,990	\$0	\$130,780	\$58,475	\$0	\$335,703
										1	
'otal Project Cost			\$465,2541	_ \$38,6031	\$28,800	1 \$85,7901	\$35,0001	\$474,0621	\$225,502	\$0	\$1,337,86311

^{1/} Tasks not included in the above table are being funded through in-kind services by CDFG and NMFS.







http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814





May 8,2000

Merced County Mr. Robert Smith 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

Dear Mr. Smith:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKEL

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator



http:llwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814



(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Yuba County Board of Supervisors 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah (. McKel

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

cc: Planning Department



http:llwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Tuolumne County Board of Supervisors 2 South Green Street Sonora, CA 95370

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Debarah C. MCKCE

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Recovery Coordinator



http://w'ww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814



(916) 653-8983

May 8,2000

Tehama County Board of Supervisors 332 Pine Street Red Bluff, CA 96080

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah E.McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

cc: Planning Department



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814



(916) 653-8983

May 8,2000

Sutter County Board of Supervisors 1160 Civic Center Blvd. Yuba City, CA 95993

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State 'and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah mckee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

cc: Planning Department



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors 1100 H Street Modesto, CA 95354

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah McKel

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Shasta County Board of Supervisors 1815 Yuba Street, Suite 1 Redding, CA 96001

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee

Recovery Coordinator



http:/iwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors 222 East Weber Avenue, Room 701 Stockton, CA 95202

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee

Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street

Sacramento, CA 95814



(91**6)**653-8983

May 8,2000

Sacramento County Board of Supervisors 700 H Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee

Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Plumas Board of Supervisors 520 West Main Street, Courthouse Quincy, CA 95971

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah MCKel Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. **CA 95814**

(916)653-8983



May 8, 2000

Placer County Board of Supervisors 175 Fulweiler Avenue Auburn, CA 95603

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County.' The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Deborah C. McKee

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-6963



May 8,2000

Nevada County Board of Supervisors 950 Maidu Avenue Nevada City, CA 95959

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Deborah C. McKee

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Merced County Board of Supervisors 2222 M Street
Merced, CA 95340

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the 'CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Deborah C. McKee

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Recovery Coordinator



May 8,2000

Mariposa County Board of Supervisors 5100 Bullion Street Mariposa, CA 95338

Dear Supervisors:

(916)653-8983

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon Recovery Coordinator

Deborah C. McKee

Planning Department

CC:





(916)653-8983

May 8, 2000

Alpine County Board of Supervisors P.O. Box 158 Markleeville, CA 96120

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983





May 8,2000

Madera County Board of Supervisors 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery.Coordinator

cc: Planning Department



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. CA 95814





May 8,2000

Amador County Board of Supervisors 500 Argonaut Lane Jackson, CA 95642

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, 1 can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. MCKee

Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

CRAY DAY RESIDEN



(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Glenn County Board of Supervisors 526 West .Sycamore Sstreet Willows, CA 95988

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

cc: Planning Department



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**







May 8,2000

Fresno County Board of Supervisors 1100 Van Ness Fresno, CA 93721

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Deborah C. MCKel

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(91**6)**653-8983





May 8,2000

El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 3300 Fair Lane Placerville, CA 95667

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) **653-8983** for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKee

Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Calaveras County Board of Supervisors 891 Mountain Ranch Road. San Andreas, CA 95249

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

cc: Planning Department



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Colusa County Board of Supervisors 546 Jay Street Colusa, CA 95932

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee Habitat Conservation Division Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch Threatened and Endangered Salmon **Recovery Coordinator**

CC: Planning Department



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. **CA** 95814







May 8,2000

Butte County Board of Supervisors 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

Dear Supervisors:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator



http:llwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 653-8983





May 8,2000

Glenn County Resource Conservation District 132 N. Enright, Suite B Willows, CA 95988

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

ehouh C.McKee-



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Feather River Resource Conservation district 48228 Hwy 70 Quincy, CA 95971

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Debrah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Tehama County
Resource Conservation District
2 Sutter Street #D
Red Bluff, CA 96080

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal *is* to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Debouh C. MCKee

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814



(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Western Shasta Resource Conservation District 3179 Bechelli Lane#107 Redding, CA 96002

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKER Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch Threatened and Endangered Salmon **Recovery Coordinator**

State of California - The Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Amador County Resource Conservation District 10590 Sunset Drive, Suite 7 Jackson, CA 95642

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

El Dorado Resource Conservation District 415 Placerville Drive #M Placerville, CA 95667

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of 'existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Debouk CMCKer

Threatened and Endangered Salmon



May 8,2000

Colusa County Resource Conservation District 100 Sunrise Blvd. #8 Colusa, CA 95932

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon Recovery Coordinator

Deborah C. MCKer

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Lower Consumnes Lower Consumnes Resource Conservation District 2001 Vesta Way Sacramento, CA 95864

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. Mckee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Sutter County Resource Conservation District 1511B Butte House Road Yuba City, CA 95993

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon Recovery Coordinator

Deborah C. McKee

,



http:/lwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814





May 8,2000

West Stanislaus Resource Conservation District P.O. Box 573 Patterson, CA 95363

Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee


Habitat Conservation Division


Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Diborah C. MCKEL

Threatened and Endangered Salmon





http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8, 2000

Stanislaus Stakeholder Group Ms. Meri Moore 2800 Cottage Way Sacramento, CA

Dear Ms. Moore:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKel

Deborah C. McKee **Habitat Conservation Division** Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch Threatened and Endangered Salmon **Recovery Coordinator**



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

S.P. Cramer & Associates, Inc. Mr. Steven Cramer 300 S.E. Arrow Creek Lane Gresham. **OR** 97080

Dear Mr. Cramer:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County.. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKEL

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator





(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Community Resource Center Mr. Steven Burke 1024 J St., Suite 312 Modesto, CA 95354

Dear Mr. Burke:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**



(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Ms. Jennifer Vick 2532 Durant Ave., Suite 201 Berkeley, CA 94704

Dear Ms. Vick:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional. information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust Ms. Jenna Olsen Fort Mason, Building C San Francisco, CA 94123

Dear Ms. Olsen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Merced Irrigation District E.C. Selb III P.O. Box 2288 Merced, CA 95344-0288

Dear Mr. Selb:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Steve Felte Tri-Dam Project P.O. Box 1158 Pinecrest. CA 95364

Dear Mr. Felte:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

if you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKer

(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Turlock Irrigation District Tuolumne Advisory Committee 333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381

Dear Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee **Habitat Conservation Division**

Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKel

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Turlock Irrigation District Mr. Tim Ford 333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381

Dear Mr. Ford:

As .requiredby the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKel





http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Merced River Technical Advisory Merced River Stakehold Group 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

Dear Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Modesto Irrigation District 1231 11th Street Modesto, CA 95352

Dear Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

Conserving California's Wildlife Since 1870



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**



(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Friends of the Tuolumne Mr. Dave Boucher 2412 Hilo Lane Ceres, CA 95307

Dear Mr. Boucher:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http:liwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Ms. Anne Read Battle Creek Watershed Cons. 1 Sutter Street, Ste. D Red Bluff. CA 96080

Dear Ms. Read:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8, 2000

Ms. Laurie Aumack Battle Creek Conservency 2 Sutter Street, Ste. **D** Red Bluff, CA 96080

Dear Ms. Aumack:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916)553-8983



May 8,2000

Mrs. Suzanne Gibbs Big Chico Creek Watershed Alliance 602 Sycamore Street Chico, **CA** 95928

Dear Mrs. Gibbs:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8, 2000

Mr. Brendan Vieg Butte Creek Watershed Conservancy P.O. Box 1611 Chico., CA 95927-1611

Dear Mr. Vieg:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May a, 2000

Ms. Rebekah Baker McGuire Central Sierra Watershed Coalition P.O. Box 67 Angels Camp, CA 95222

Dear Ms. McGuire:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



ORAY DÃ

May **a**, 2000

Ms. Linda Cole Cherokee Watershed 7399 Highway 99 Oroville, CA 95965

Dear Ms. Cole:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and. relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

Conserving California's Wildlife Since 1870

ORSONA!

(916) 653-8983

May 8,2000

Ms. Loretta Carrico Cottonwood Creek Watershed Grp. P.O. box 1198 Redding, CA 96002

Dear Ms. Carrico:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C.McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8, 2000

Ms. Diane Gaumer Deer Creek Watershed Conservancy P.O. Box 307 Vina, CA 96092

Dear Ms. Gaumer:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

.HabitatConservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKel



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Tim Weaver Fall River RCD P.O. Box 83 McArthur, CA 96065

Dear Mr. Weaver:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8, 2000

Ms. Karen Kibble Feather River CRMP P.O. Box 3880 Quincy, CA 95971

Dear Ms. Kibble:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKel



(916) 653-8983

May 8,2000

Mr. Jim Wilcox Feather River CRMP P.O. Box 3880 Quincy, CA 95971

Dear Mr. Wilcox:


As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. MCKee





(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Mr. Gary Nakamura University of California, Coop. Ext. 1851 Hartnell Avenue Redding, CA 96002

Dear Mr. Nakamura:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Debuah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon



State of California - The Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Scott Murphy Izaak Walton League P.O. Box 3051 Chico, **CA** 95927

Dear Mr. Murphy:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. Mckee



State of California - The Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



GRAY DA

May 8,2000

Mr. John Benoit Glenn County Resource, Planning 125 S. Murdock Street Willows, CA 95988

Dear Mr. Benoit:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Debuah C. mcKee



State of California The Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Ms. Linda Cole 7399 Highway 99 Oroville, CA 95965

Dear Ms. Cole:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon populationstructure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

Conserving California's Wildlife Since 1870



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Ms. Jean Hubbell Little Chico Creek Watershed P.O. Box 365 Forest Ranch, CA 95942

Dear Ms. Hubbell:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA 95814**

(916) 653-8983



May 8, 2000

Ms. Mary Schroeder Battle Creek, Clear Creek, Middle Creek Watersheds 3294 Bechelli Lane Redding, CA 96002

Dear Ms. Schroeder:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKee



http:/lwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Ms. Kerry Burke Mill Creek Conservancy P.O. Box 188 Los Molinos, CA 96055

Dear Ms. Burke:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http:llwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Burt Bundy, Sacto. River Coord. Dept. of Water Resources 2440 Main Street Red Bluff. CA 96080

Dear Mr. Bundy:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee



http:llwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. **CA 95814**

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. John McCullah Sacramento Watersheds Action Grp. 3141 Bechelli Lane Redding, **CA** 96002

Dear Mr. McCuliah:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. mcKel



http:llwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814





May a, 2000

Mr. John Merz Sacramento River Pres. Trust P.O. Box **5366** Chico, CA 95927

Dear Mr. Merz:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916)653-8983



May.8, 2000

Mr. Radley Reep San Joaquin River Committee P.O. Box 14166 Fresno. CA 93650

Dear Mr. Reep:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee



http:ilwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Dave Koehler San Joaquin River Parkwy. & Cons. Trust 1550 E. Shaw Avenue, Ste. 114 Fresno. CA 93710

Dear Mr. Koehler:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Bra'nch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKel



http:/lwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8, 2000

Ms. Sandy Flourney Thomes Creek Watershed Association P.O. Box 2365 Flourney, CA 96029

Dear Ms. Flourney:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, **I** can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCREE



http:liwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

South Yuba River Citizens League 216 Main Street Nevada city, CA 95959

Dear Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. McKee

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Yuba County Water Agency 1402 D Street Marvsville, CA 95901

Dear Gentlemen:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKel

GRAY DANUS, Governor

(916)653-8983

May 8,2000

Mr. Richard Roth Streamminders of Chico 1318 Bruce Street Chico, CA 95926

Dear Mr. Roth:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, *CA* 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Chuck DeJournette Tehama Fly Fishers P.O. Box 224 Red Bluff. CA 96080

Dear Mr. DeJournette

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

Deborah C. McKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(916)653-8983





May 8,2000

Ms. Vicki Dawley Tehama County Resources Conserv. District 2 Sutter Street, Suite D Red Bluff, CA 96080

Dear Ms. Dawley:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee



http:/lwww.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Vince Cloward Upper Sacramento River Exchange P.O. Box 784 Dunsmuir, CA 96025

Dear Mr. Cloward:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. mcKee

State of California - The Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento. **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Val Connor Sacramento River Watershed Program 3443 Routier Road, Suite A Sacramento CA 95827-3003

Dear Mr. Connor:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is *to* notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Debuah C. MCKee

Deborah C. McKee
Habitat Conservation Division
Native Anadromous Fish
and Watershed Branch
Threatened and Endangered Salmon
Recovery Coordinator

Conserving California's Wildlife Since 1870



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT **OF FISH** AND GAME
http://www.dfg.ca.gov
1416 Ninth Street

CKAY DANISA COMPTRON

May 8,2000

Mr. Charles Kutz Butte Creek Watershed Conservancy P.O. Box 1611 Chico, CA 95927

Dear Mr. Kutz:

Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 653-8983

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah C. MCKee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916) 653-8983



May a, 2000

Mr. Tom Last Sutter County Planning Department 1160 Civic Center Blvd. Yuba City, CA 95993

Dear Mr. Last:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board, of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Debouch C. mckee



http://www.dfg.ca.gov 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, **CA** 95814

(916)653-8983



May 8,2000

Mr. Tom Parilo, Develop. Services Director Butte County Planning Commission 7 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

Dear Mr. Parilo:

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Debaah C. MCKee





May 8,2000

Ms. Suzanne Gibbs Big Chico Creek Watershed Alliance 1162 E. 7" Street Chico, CA 95928-0003

Dear Ms. Gibbs:

(916) 653-8983

As required by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, this letter is to notify the Board of Supervisors that the California Department of Fish and Game will submit a proposal to the CALFED program for funding of a research project which will occur within Sacramento County. The research project consists of evaluating the genetic structure of chinook salmon populations within California's Central Valley. The goal is to improve our understanding of existing chinook salmon population structure and relatedness to further State and Federal restoration and recovery efforts for these species.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (916) 653-8983 for additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah C. McKee

Habitat Conservation Division

Native Anadromous Fish

and Watershed Branch

Threatened and Endangered Salmon

Deborah CMCKel





Environmental Compliance Checklist

All applicants must till out this Environmental Compliance Checklist. Applications must contain answers to the following questions to be responsive and to be considered for funding. Failure to answer these questions and include them with the application will result in the application being considered nonresponsive and not considered for funding.

1.	Do any of the actions included in the proposal require compliance with either the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), or both?
	YES NO
2.	If you answered yes to # 1, identify the lead governmental agency for CEQA/NEPA compliance
	Lead Agency

3. If you answered no to # 1, explain why CEQN NEPA compliance is not required for the actions in the proposal.

No state permit is required for the California Department of Fish and Game (Department) to collect and process tissue samples taken from carcasses of adult chinook salmon. Although the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) generally prohibits the take or possession of live or dead animals that are listed by the State as threatened or endangered (in this case, spring-run chinook salmon within the Central Valley) without a permit, State regulations expressly authorize California Department employees and agents to take and possess these animals for scientific purposes (Title 14 California Code of Regulations, Section 783.1(c)).

The Department believes this project falls within the Class 6 categorical CEQA exemption and that no CEQA environmental document will need to be prepared. Class 6 exempt activities consist of "basic data collection, research, experimental management and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource...". This exemption is appropriate because the project will not result in mortality or injury to a living animal listed under CESA.

No Federal Endangered Species Act Section 10(a)1[A} Research Permit for scientific purposes is presently needed by the Department to collect the proposed chinook salmon tissue samples. The Department will not be performing tissue collections of federally-listed endangered Sacramento winter-run chinook salmon. Winter-run tissue samples will be obtained from the U.S.Fish and Wildlife Service who possess a Section 10(a)1[A} Research Permit from NMFS to perform collections and sampling of Sacramento winter-run chinook salmon as part of the Winter-run Chinook Salmon Broodstock Program. No Federal Endangered Species Act Section 10(a)1[A} Research Permit for scientific purposes is presently needed by the Department to collect Central Valley spring- or fall-/late fall-run chinook salmon tissues from carcasses since NMFS has not yet promulgated a 4(d) Rule prohibiting take of Central Valley chinook salmon.

If the Department receives federal funding through CALFED, the Department believes this project qualifies for a categorical exclusion under NEPA because it is environmentally benign in the short term and should over time produce data that can be used to benefit—fish species. The project qualifies—as "...research, inventory, and information collection activities directly related to the conservation of fish and wildlife resources which involve negligible animal mortality or habitat destruction..." (Department of Interior Manual, Part 516, Chapter 6, Section 1.4 B (1)).



4. If CEQA/NEPA compliance is required, describe how the project will comply with either or both of these laws. Describe where the project is in the compliance process and the expected date of completion.

If, during the execution of the proposed three-year study, a 4(d) Rule is promulgated for Central Valley spring-or fall-/late fall-run chinook salmon and permits for scientific research are necessitated, the Department will request NMFS amend the Department's existing Section 10(a)1[A] Research Permit which presently authorizes specific levels of take for Sacramento winter-run chinook salmon. In such a case, NMFS would be the NEPA Lead Agency for authorizing take for scientific purposes.

5. Will the applicant require access across public or private property that the applicant does not own to accomplish the activities in the proposal?

X		
YES	•	NO

In order to perform field collections, access will be across public lands for the following streams: American Cosumnes, Feather, Mokelumne. and Upper Sacramento rivers, Clear, Butte Big Chico, and Battle creeks. Permission for access across private land has been obtained for Mill and Deer creeks (letters attached). Access points for Cottonwood, Beegum, and Antelope creeks need to be determined and documentation of permission will be provided to CALFED within 30 days of notification of approval.



6.	Please indicate what permits or proposal. Check all boxes that a		als may be required for the activities contained in your
	Conditional use permit Variance Subdivision Map Act approval Grading permit General plan amendment Specific plan approval Rezone Williamson Act Contract cancellation Other (please specify) None required		
	STATE CESA Compliance Streambed alteration permit CWA § 401 certification Coastal development permit Reclamation Board approval Notification Other (please specify) None required	(CDFG)(CDFG)(RWQC)(Coasta	B) Al Commission/BCDC)
	FEDERAL ESA Consultation Rivers & Harbors Act permit CWA \$\frac{3}{2} 404 permit Other (please specify) None required	(USFW (ACOE (ACOE 	
C\ CI	PC = Delta Protection Commission NA = Clean Water Act ESA = California Endangered Specie SFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Servi COE = U.S. Army Corps of Engineer	ce	ESA = Endangered Species Act CDFG = California Department of Fish and Game RWQCB = Regional Water Quality Control Board BCDC= Bay Conservation and Development Comm.



Land Use Checklist

All applicant s must fill out t his Land **Use** Checklistfort heir proposal. Applications must contain answers to the following questions to be responsive and to be considered for funding. <u>Failure to answer these questions and include them with the application will result in the application being considered nonresponsive and not considered for funding.</u>

1.	Do the actions in the proposal involve physical changes to the land (i.e. grading, planting vegetation, or breeching levees) or restrictions in land use (i.e. conservation easement or placement of land in a wildlife refuge)?
	X
	YES NO
2.	If NO to #1, explain what type of actions are involved in the proposal (i.e., research only, planning only). Research only to collect tissue samples from adult chinook salmon carcasses.
3.	If YES to #1, what is the proposed land use change or restriction under the proposal?
4.	If YES to #1, is the land currently under a Williamson Act contract?
	YES
5.	If YES to #1, answer the following:
	Current land use
	Current zoning
	Current general plan designation
6.	If YES to #1, is the land classified as Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance or Unique Farmland on the Department of Conservation Important Farmland Maps?
	YES NO DON'T KNOW
7.	If YES to # 1, how many acres of land will be subject to physical change or land use restrictions under the proposal?
8.	If YES to # 1, is the property currently being commercially farmed or grazed?
	YES NO
9.	If YES to #8, what are: the number of employees/acre the total number of employees



10.	Will the applicant acquire any interest in land under the proposal (fee title or a conservation easement)?
	YES
11.	What entity/organization will hold the interest?
12.	If YES to # 10, answer the following:
	Total number of acres to be acquired under proposal Number of acres to be acquired in fee Number of acres to be subject to conservation easement
13.	For all proposals involving physical changes to the land or restriction in land use , describe what entity or organization will:
	Manage the property
	Provide operations and maintenance services
	Conduct monitoring
14.	For land acquisitions (fee title or easements), will existing water rights also be acquired?
	YES
15.	Does the applicant propose any modifications to the water right or change in the delivery of the water?
	YES
16.	If YES to # 15, describe

	•
	•



Agreement No.:	
Evhihit:	

STANDARD CLAUSES –INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS

Audit Clause. For Agreements in excess of \$10,000, the parties shall be subject to the examination and audit of the State Auditor for a period of three years after final payment under the Agreement. (Government Code Section 8546.7).

Availability of Funds. Work to be performed under this Agreement is subject to availability of funds through the State's normal budget process.

Interagency Payment Clause. For services provided under this Agreement, charges will be computed in accordance with State Administrative Manual Sections 8752 and 8752.1.

Termination Clause. Either State agency may terminate this Agreement upon thirty (30)days' advance written notice. The State agency providing the services shall be reimbursed for all reasonable expenses incurred up to the date of termination.

Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable by any court of final jurisdiction, it is the intent of the parties that all other provisions of this Agreement be construed to remain fully valid, enforceable, and binding on the parties.

Y2K Language. The Contractor warrants and represents that the goods or services sold, leased, or licensed to the State of California, its agencies, or its political subdivisions, pursuant to this Agreement are "Year2000 compliant". For purposes of this Agreement, a good or service is Year 2000 compliant if it will continue to fully function before, at, and after the Year 2000 without interruption and, if applicable, with full ability to accurately and unambiguously process, display, compare, calculate, manipulate, and otherwise utilize date information. This warranty and representation supersedes all warranty disclaimers and limitations and all limitations on liability provided by or through the Contractor.

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. <u>DATE SUBM</u> ITTED 05/12/00		Applicant Identifier	- inicia	
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:	3. DATE RECEIVED E	BY STATE	State Application Identifier		
Application Prespplication Construction Construction	on L		Federal Islandica		
Non-Construction Non-Const		Y FEDERAL AGENCY	Foderal Identifier		
Non-Construction Non-Const	- Individual				
onal Name:		Organizational Un	lt Commence of the commence of	ab and O	
Deborah C. McKee			California Department of Fig		
Address (<i>give edge, source state, and zip code</i>]:		dame and telepho	one number of the person to be contaction and code.	ted on matters	
1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814		Deborah C. (916) 653-69			
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN):		7. TYPE OF APPL	ICANT: (onter appropriate littler in box)	A.	
9 4 - 1 6	9 7 5 6 7	A. State	H. IndependentSchool		
0 4 1 0	9 / 3 0 /	B, County C. Municipal	 I. state Controlled Ins J. Private University 	titution of Higher Learn	
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION	_	O. Township	K. IndianTribe		
New	Continuation Revision	2. intorotato	L Individual		
If Douglaion and a surface to Latterfal to be uparty		F. Intermunici G. Special Di			
		G. Special Di	strict N. Other (Specify).		
A. increase Anard D. Decrease Duration Other (specify):	o. morodo Duration	9. NAME OF FED			
		Service (Contract with National Marine	Fisheries Service	
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER			ETITLE OF APPLICANTS PROJECT:		
		Gentic F	opulation Structure of Central	Valley Chinook	
TITLE		Saimon			
12 AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (2006s 200	roting states, etc.):				
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (silves, cou	rotios, states, etc.):				
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (calles, coul) Central Valley	roles, states, etc.):				
	rrior, states, etc.):				
Central Valley	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS C	DF.			
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT 14.		DF.	b. Project		
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a.	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS O	DF.	b. Project Statewide		
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS O Applicant		Statewide	12372 PROCESS?	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 14/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS Of Applicant 16. IS APPLICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	CATIONSUBJECT TO RI	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA	BLE TO THE	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLICATION AS YES.	CATIONSUBJECT TO RI	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER	BLE TO THE	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS Of Applicant 16. IS APPLICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	CATIONSUBJECT TO RI	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA	BLE TO THE	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal b. Applicant	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 5 16. IS APPLICATED AT YES. 0].00	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA	BLE TO THE	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal b. Applicant	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLICATION AS YES.	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA	BLE TO THE	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal b. Applicant	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 5 16. IS APPLICATED AT YES. 0].00	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372	BLE TO THE V ON:	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: 1. Federal b. Applicant c. State d. Local	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 5 16. IS APPLIC A. YES. 0].00 NO.	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW	BLE TO THE V ON:	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: 1. Federal b. Applicant Contact NIMIES	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS of Applicant 5 16. IS APPLIC a. YES. 0].00	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372	BLE TO THE V ON:	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: 1. b. Applicant c. State d. Local	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLIC a. YES. 0.00 10.00 10.00	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OR PROGRAM	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AWAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEV OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STAT	BLE TO THE V ON:	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: 1. Federal b. Applicant Contact NIMIES	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLICATED AT 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15.	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OR PROGRAM	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AWAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE TON MAY PEDERAL DEBT?	ELE TO THE V ON:	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal b. Applicant c. State d. Local a. Other NIMES M-ZIMA Control Valley 1. Program Income	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLIC a. YES. 0.00 10.00 10.00	CATIONSUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OR PROGRAM	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AWAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE TON MAY PEDERAL DEBT?	BLE TO THE V ON:	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a 04/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal b. Applicant c. State d. Local e. Other NIMES M-ZIM CONTMINITION f. Program income g. TOTAL 14.	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLIC a. YES. 321,585 .00 0 .00 312,454 .00 17. IS THE A	CATION SUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OR PROGRAM I	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEV OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE OT ON ANY PROENAL DEBT? Explanation.	ELE TO THE VON:	
Central Valley 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. D4/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal b. Applicant c. State d. Local a. Other NIMES M-ZIM OUTMANTION f. Program Income	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLIC A. YES. 321,585 .00 0 .00 316,940 .00 312,454 .00 0 .00 17. IS THE A. S.	CATION SUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OF PROGRAM IS N OF PROGRAM IS N OF PROGRAM IS N ON/PREAPPLICATION AF	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILAN RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEN OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE TON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? EXPLAND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENT	ELE TO THE VON: FOR REVIEW No IT HAS BEEN DULY SISTANCE IS AWARDS	
2. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. D4/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal b. Applicant c. State d. Local 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BE AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BE AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE BUTCH BY THE BUTCH	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLICATED AT A IN THIS APPLICATION THE APPLICATI	CATION SUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OR PROGRAM ON/PREAPPLICATION AR NT WILLCOMPLYWITHT b. Title	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AWAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEV OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE TON ANY PROCEDAL DEBT? EXPLAND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENTHE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASS	ELL TO THE V ON: E FOR REVIEW No IT HAS BEEN DULY SISTANCE IS AWARDS	
13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 14. D4/01 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: 1. Federal 1. D. Applicant 1. D. Applicant 1. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BE AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE BUTCH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE BUTCH BY THE	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLICATED AT A IN THIS APPLICATION THE APPLICATI	CATION SUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OF PROGRAM IS N OF PROGRAM IS N OF PROGRAM IS N ON/PREAPPLICATION AF NT WILLCOMPLYWITHT	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AWAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEV OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE TON ANY PROCEDAL DEBT? EXPLAND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENTHE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASS	POR REVIEW THAS BEEN DULY SISTANCE IS AWARDS C. Telephone num 916.653.898	
13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date a. 14. O4/O1 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: 1. Federal 1. Other NIMES 1. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BE AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BY THE	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF Applicant 16. IS APPLICATED AT A IN THIS APPLICATION THE APPLICATI	CATION SUBJECT TO R THIS PREAPPLICATIO STATE EXECUTIVEO DATE PROGRAM IS N OR PROGRAM ON/PREAPPLICATION AR NT WILLCOMPLYWITHT b. Title	Statewide EVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVEORDER N/APPLICATION WAS MADE AWAILA RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEV OT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE TON ANY PROCEDAL DEBT? EXPLAND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENTHE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASS	BLE TO THE VON: FOR REVIEW No.	

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average **180** minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the Collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0044), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

General Instructions

This form is designed so that application can be made for funds from one or more grant programs. In preparing the budget, adhere to any existing Federal grantor agency guidelines which prescribe how and, whether budgeted amounts should be separately shown for different functions or activities within the program. For some programs, grantor agencies may require budgets to be separately shown by function or activity. For other programs, grantor agencies may require a breakdown by function or activity. Sections A, B, C, and D should include budget estimates for the whole project except when applying for assistance which requires Federal authorization in annual or other funding period increments. In the latter case, Sections A. B. C. and D should provide the budget for the first budget period (usually a year) and Section. E should present the need for Federal assistance in the subsequent budget periods. All applications should contain a breakdown by the object class categories shown in tines a-k of Section B.

Section A. Budget Summary Lines 1-4 Columns (a) and (b)

For applications pertaining to a **single** Federal grant program (Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog number) and **not requiring** a functional or activity breakdown, enter on Line 1 under Column (a) the Catalog program title and the Catalog number in Column (b).

For applications pertaining to a single program requiring budget amounts by multiple functions or activities, enter the name of each activity or function on each line in Column (a), and enter the Catalog number in Column (b). For applications pertaining to multiple programs where none of the programs require a breakdown by function or activity. enter the Catalog program title on each line in Column (a) and the respective Catalog number on each line in Column (b).

For applications pertaining to *multiple* programs where one or more programs *require* a breakdown by function or activity, prepare a separate sheet for each program requiring the 'breakdown. Additional sheets should be used when one form does not provide adequate space for all breakdown of data required. However, when more than one sheet is used, the first page should provide the summary totals by programs.

Lines 1-4, Columns (c) through (g)

For new applications, leave Column (c) and (d) blank. For each line entry in Columns (a) and (b), enter in Columns (e), (f), and (g) the appropriate amounts of funds needed.to support the project for the first funding period (usually a year).

For continuing grant program applications, submit these forms before the end of each funding period as required by the grantor agency. Enter in Columns (c) and (d) the estimated amounts of funds which will remain unobligated at the end of the grant funding perioo only if the Federal grantor agency instructions provide for this. Otherwise, leave these columns blank. Enter in columns (e) and (9) the amounts of funds needed for the upcoming period. The amount(s) in Column (g) should be the sum of amounts in Columns (e) and (f).

For supplemental grants and changes to existing grants, do not use Columns (c) and (d). Enter in Column (e) the amount of the increase or decrease of Federal funds and enter in Column (f) the amount of the increase or decrease of non-Federal funds. In Column (g) enter the new total budgeted amount (Federal and non-Federal) which includes the total previous authorized budgeted amounts plus or minus, as appropriate, Me amounts shown in Columns (e) and (9. The amount(s) in Column (g) should not equal the sum of amounts in Columns (e) and (9.

Line 5 - Show the totals for all columns used.

Section B Budget Categories

In the column headings (1) through (4), enter the titles of the same programs, functions, and activities shown on Lines 1-4, Column (a), Section A. When additional sheets are prepared for Section A, provide similar column headings on each sheet. For each program, function or activity, fill in the total requirements for funds (both Federal and non-Federal) by object class categories.

Line 6a-i - Show the totals of Lines 6a to 6h in each column.

Line 6j - Show the amount of indirect cost.

Line 6k - Enter the total of amounts on Lines 6i and 6i. For all applications for new grants and continuation grants the total amount in column (5), Line 6k. should be the same as the total amount shown in Section A, Column (g), Line 5. For supplemental grants and changes to grants, the total amount of the increase or decrease as shown in Columns (1)-(4), Line 6k should be the same as the sum of the amounts in Section A, Columns (e) and (9 on Line 5.

Line 7° Enter the estimated amount of income, if any, expected to be generated from this project. Do not add or subtract this amount from the total project amount, Show under the program

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SF-424A (continued)

narrative statement the nature and source of income. The estimated amount of program income may be considered by the Federal grantor agency in determining the total amount of the grant.

Section C. Non-Federal Resources

Lines 8-11 Enter amounts of non-Federal resources that will be used on the grant. If in-kind contributions are included. provide a brief explanation on a separate sheet.

Column (a) • Enter the program titles identical to Column (a), Section A A breakdown by function or activity is not necessary.

Column (b) - Enter the contribution to be made by the applicant.

Column (c) • Enter the amount of the State's cash and in-kind contribution if the applicant is not a State or State agency. Applicants which are a State or State agencies should leave this column blank.

Column (d) - Enter the amount of cash and in-kind contributions to be made from all other sources.

Column (e) - Enter totals of Columns (b). (c), and (d)

Line 12 • Enter the total for each of Columns (b)-(e). The amount in Column (e) should be equal to the amount on Line 5, Column (f), Section A.

Section D. Forecasted Cash Needs

Line 13 - Enter the amount of cash needed by quarter from the grantor agency during the first year,

Line 14 - Enter the amount of cash from all other sources needed by quarter during the first year.

Line 15 - Enter the totals of amounts on tines 13 and 14.

Section **E** Budget Estimates of Federal Funds Needed fo Balance of the Project

Lines 16-19 - Enter in Column (a) the same grant program title shown in Column (a), Section A. A breakdown by function c activity is not necessary. For new applications and continuatio grant applications, enter in the proper columns amounts of Federa funds which will be needed to complete the program or project over the succeeding funding periods (usually in years). This section need not be completed for revisions (amendments, changes, c supplements) to funds for the current year of existing grants.

If more than four lines are needed to list the program titles, submadditional schedules as necessary.

Line 20 - Enter the total for each of the Columns (b)-(e). Whe additional schedules are prepared for this Section, annotat accordingly and show the overall totals on this line.

Section F. Other Budget Information

Line 21 - Use this space to explain amounts for individual direr object class cost categories that may appear to be out of th ordinary or to explain the details as required by the Federal grantc agency.

Line 22 - Enter the type of indirect rate (provisional, predetermined final or fixed) that will be in effect during the funding period, the estimated amount of the base to which the rate is applied, and the total indirect expense.

Line 23 - Provide any other explanations or comments deeme necessary.

BUDGET INFORMATION - Non-Construction Programs

			TION A - BUDGET SU	MMARY		
Grant Program Function	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance	Estimated U	nobligated Funds		New or Revised Bud	get
or Activity (a)	Number (b)	Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal (f)	Total (g)
1. Year 1		\$	\$	\$ 544,892	\$	\$ 544,892
2 Year 2				445,075		445,075
3 Year 3				331,618		331,618
4.						
5. Totals		\$	\$ ·	\$ 1,321,585	\$	\$1,32 <u>1,</u> 585
SECTION B - BUDGET CATEGORIES						
6. Object Class Catego	ries	(1) Program	GRANT PROGRAM, (2)	FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY	(4)	Total(5)
a. Personnel		465,255	\$	\$	\$	\$
b. Fringe Benefi	ts	38,603				
c. Travel		28,800				
d. Equipment		35,000				
e. Supplies		85,790		-		
f. Contractual		474,062				
g. Construction	ar					
h. Other						
i. Total Direct Cl	narges <i>(sum of6a-6h)</i>	1,126,460				
j. Indirect Charg	es (Overhead)	209,040				
k. TOTALS <i>(sur</i>	n of 6i and 6j)	1.321.585	\$	\$	\$. \$
7. Program Income		\$ 0.0		 \$	\$	İs

	SECTIO	ON C - NON-FEDERALI	RESOURCES		• • •
(a) Grant Program	1	(b) Applicant	(c) State	(d) Other Sources	(e) TOTALS
3. CDFG - In-kind Services		\$	\$ 316,940	\$	\$ 316,940
3.					
10.					
11.					
12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)	\$	\$	\$	\$ 316,940	
	SECTION	ON D - FORECASTED C	CASH NEEDS		
	Total for 1st Year	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
13. Federal	\$544,892	\$ 136,223	\$ 136,223	\$ 136,223	\$ 136,223
14. Non-Federal					
15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)	\$544,892	\$	\$	\$	\$
SECTION E -	BUDGET ESTIMATES C	OF FEDERAL FUNDS N	EEDED FOR BALANC	EOF THE PROJECT	
(a) Grant Program			ING PERIODS (Years)	1	
		(b) First	(c) Second	(d) Third	(e) Fourth
16. CALFED		\$544,892	^{\$} 445.075	\$ 331.618	\$
17.					
18.					
I 9.					
20. TOTAL <i>(sum Of lines 16-19)</i>	\$544.892	\$ 445.075	\$ 331.618	\$	
	SECTION	F. OTHER BUDGET I	NFORMATION :.	ellet Barrie	(A)
21. Direct Charges: 1 ,126 ,460		22. Indire	ect Charges: 209, 0		
23. Remarks:		•			

ASSURANCES = NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

NOTE Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, certain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

- Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
- 2. Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States and, if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the award and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
- 3. Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
- Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
- 5. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
- 6. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §\$1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation

- Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age: (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse: (9 the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism: (a) §\$523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§290 dd-3 and 290 ee 3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§3601 et seg.). as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (i) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
- 7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Properly Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally-assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real properly acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
- 8. Will comply, as applicable, with provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

URIGINAL

- 9. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. §§276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §874), and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §§327-333), regarding labor standards for federally-assisted construction subagreements.
- 10. Will comply, if applicable, with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
- 11. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clean Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (a) protection of underground sources of drinking water. under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-523): and. (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (P.L. 93-

- 12. Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. §§1271 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
- Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. §470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 et seq.).
- 14. Will comply with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 15. Will comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§2131 et seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 16. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. §§4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead-based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
- 17. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular No. A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."
- 18. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations, and policies governing this program.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL	TITLE
Dyacmum	Senior Biologist (Mane/Eisheres)
APPLICANT ORGANIZATION	DATE SUBMITTED
California Department of Fish and	Game 5-12-00

U.S. Department of the Interior

URIGINAL

Certifications Regarding Debarment, Suspension and

Other Responsibility Matters, Drug-Free Workplace Requirements and Lobbying

Persons signing this form should refer to the regulations referenced below for complete instructions:

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions - The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposalthat it will include the clause titled, "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. See below for language to be used: use this form for certification and sign; or use Department of the Interior Form 1954 (DI-19541. (See Appendix A of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions - (See Appendix B of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements - Alternate I. (GranteesOther Than Individuals) and Alternate II. (GranteesWho are Individuals) - (See Appendix C of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Signature on this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 43 CFR Parts 12 and **18.** The certifications shall be treated as a material representation Of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of the Interior determines to award the covered transaction. grant, cooperative agreement or loan.

PART A:

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Primary Cowered Transactions

CHECK __ IF THIS CERTIFICATIONIS FOR A PRIMARY COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- (1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:
 - Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
 - (b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal. State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction: violation of Federalor State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement. theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
 - Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmentalentity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and
 - (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.
- (2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

PART **B:** Certification Regarding Debarment. Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion • Lower Tier Covered Transactions

CHECK__ IF THIS CERTIFICATIONIS FOR A LOWER TIER COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- Ill The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

D1-2010
March 1995
(This form consolidates D1-1953. D1-1954. D1-1955. D1-1956 and D1-19631

PART C: Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

CHECK __ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS NOT AN INDIVIDUAL.

Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals1

A. The grantee certifies that it will or continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

- Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
- (b) Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about-

(1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace:

(2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace:

(3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and

- (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
- (c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph ::
- (dl Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will --

(1) Abide by the terms of the statement: and

- (2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;
- (e) Notifying the agency in writing, within ten calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice. including position title, to every grant officer on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant:
- If Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted --

Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, UP to and including termination. consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended: or

- Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal. State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;
- (g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs(a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f).
- B. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of	Performance (Street address	, city,	county.	state,	zip code)
						-
						_

Check __ if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

PART D: Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

CHECK _ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS AN INDIVIDUAL.

Alternate II. (Grantees Who Are Individuals)

- (a) The grantee certifies thai, as a condition of the grant, he or she will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution. dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant:
- (b) It convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity. he or she will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to the grant officer or other designee, unless the Federal agency designates a central point for the receipt of such notices. When notice is made to such a central point, it shall include the identification numberIsl of each affected grant.

DI-2010 March 1995 (This form consolidates DI-1953, DI-1954. DI-1955. DI-1956 and DI-19631



PART E:

Certification Regarding Lobbying

Certification for Contracts. Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

CHECK __ IF CERTIFICATIONIS FOR THE AWARD OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AND THE AMOUNT EXCEEDS \$ 100,000: A FEDERAL GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT. SUBCONTRACT, OR SUBGRANT UNDER THE GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.

CHECK __ IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE A WARD OF A FEDERAL LOAN EXCEEDING THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000, OR A SUBGRANT OR SUBCONTRACT EXCEEDING \$100,000, UNDER THE LOAN.

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, and officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contrac?, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

As the authorized certifying official, I hereby certify that the above specified certifications are true.

TYPED NAME AND TITLE Deborate C. Mckee; Senior Biologist Spec. (Marke/Fisher)
Date 5-12-06

DI-2010

March 1995

(This form consolidates DI-1953. DI-1954.



Date: February 10, 1994

Creek: Mill Creek county: Tehama

Landowner: Richard M. & Hazel V. Krone

Phone #: (916) 384-2627

Permission to trespass onto property on Mill Creek,
Parcel Number(s): 078-090-41-1
078-090-42-1
078-060-28-1

76

<u> </u>	Granted			
	Denied	(Reason	please)	

Comments:

/ In Brane

Mail to: Attn Colleen Harvey

California Department of Fish and Game

P.O. Box 578

Red Bluff CA 96080

١...



TRESPASS 'PERMISSION

Date: February 10, 1994

Creek: Mill Creek

County: Tehama

Landowner: Mark Steven & Katherine Sue Klinesteker Pho

384-1127

permission to trespass onto property on Mill Creek, Parcel Number(s): 078-360-21-1 078-360-18-1

Granted Make (10 2/1/94

Denied (Reason please)

Comments:

Mail to: Attn Colleen Harvey

California Department of Fish and Game

P.O. Box 578

Red Bluff CA 96080

Date: February 10, 1994

Creek: Mill Creek

county: Tehama

Landowner: Jennifer Hanna Horsman ETAL

R. Jupp Hanna

Phone #:

916 595-4493

015-080-39-1

Granted
Denied (Reason please)
comments: Please Contact me AT The Above
number before you schidule your
Wolk. I would enjoy walking
along + leauning. Aus, I will contact you if + when we see the
Contact you if + when we see the
Mail to: Attn Colleen Harvey California Department of Fish and Game P.O. Box 578
Red Bluff CA 96080
salmon- We have seen salmon
as early as July and most often
in October. Thubyou R. Juld Zhenna
/c. /

Date: February 8, 1994

Creek: Deer Creek county: Tehama

Landowner: Abbey of New Clairveaux

Phone #: 839 - \$161

Permission to trespass onto property on Deer Creek, Parcel Number(s): 079-190-13-1 079-190-15-1

Granted

Denied (Reason please)

Comments:

Grantel by Br. Bul Bernach, Manager.

Mail to: Attn colleen Harvey

California Department of Fish and Game

P.O. Box 578
Red Bluff CA 96080

Date: February 8, 1994

creek: Deer Creek
county: Tehama

Landowner: Mr. & Mrs. Robert E. Ramsey, Jr. Phone (916) 839-2251

Permission to trespass onto property on Deer creek, Parcel Number(s): 081-030-08-1 079-150-01-1

L/	ranted	1				
	enied	(Reasor	ı pl	ease)		
Comments:						
We	MISS	Cating	a	Ten	tres	mat.

Mail to: Attn Colleen Harvey California Department of Fish and Game P.O. Box 578 Red Bluff CA 96080

- -/11/17

TRESPASS PERMISSION

Date: February 8, 1994

Creek: Deer Creek County: Tehama

Landowner: Mr. Warren D. Rose ETAL

Phone #: (414) 85.2 .4247

Permission to trespass onto property on Deer Creek, Parcel Number(s): 081-030-03-1

081-030-06-1

081-030-11-1

X	Granted	W	veren D. Ruse.
	Denied	(Reason	please)

Comments:

Mail to: Attn Colleen Harvey

California Department of Fish and Game

P.O. BOX 578

Red Bluff CA 96080



Date: February 8, 1994

creek: Deer Creek County: Tehama

Landowner: Diamond Lands Corporation Phone #: 916-873-6530

916-365-3721

Permission to trespass onto property on Deer Creek, Parcel Number(s): 053-110-11-1 053-120-05-1

	Granted		
	Denied	(Reason	please)
omments	₹•		

Mail to: Attn Colleen Harvey

California Department of Fish and Game

P.O. Box 578

Red Bluff CA 96080